1	TOWN OF WEST HARTFORD
2	
3	TOWN COUNCIL PUBLIC HEARING
4	February 25, 2020, 6:18 p.m.,
5	Legislative Chambers
6	
7	Re: Application Filed on Behalf of FW CT-Corbin's
8	Corner Shopping Center, LLC, Owner of 1459 New Britain
9	Avenue, Located Within Special Development District
10	(SDD) #6, 1445-1459 New Britain Avenue.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Г

1	Appea	rances:
2	Town	Council Members Present:
3		MAYOR SHARI CANTOR
4		LEON DAVIDOFF
5		LEE GOLD
6		CAROL BLANKS
7		CHRIS WILLIAMS
8		MARY FAY
9		BETH KERRIGAN
10		
11	Alte	rnates:
12		I. CHARLES MATHEWS
13		JOSEPH STAFFORD
14		
15		MATT W. HART
16		Town Manager
17		
18		DALLAS DODGE
19		Corporation Counsel
20		
21		ESSIE S. LABROT
22		TOWN CLERK
23		
24		
25		

1	Appearances:(cont'd)
2	For the Applicant:
3	ALTER & PEARSON, LLC
4	701 Hebron Avenue
5	Glastonbury, Connecticut 06033
6	By: ROBIN PEARSON, ESQ.
7	RPearson@alterpearson.com
8	860.652.4020
9	
10	For EDGE FITNESS and RED ROBIN:
11	STANGER STANFIELD
12	433 South Main Street, #112
13	West Hartford, Connecticut 06110
14	By: MELISSA HARRIS, ESQ.
15	MHarris@stangerlaw.com
16	860.561.0650
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PRESIDENT CANTOR: All right. We are calling the 6:15

public hearing to order, application filed on

behalf of FW CT-Corbin's Corner Shopping Center,

LLC, owner of 1459 New Britain Avenue, located

within Special Development District, SDD Number 6,

The application seeks approval for a renovation of the shopping center including alterations to the existing building facades, construction of an approximately 22,550 square foot addition to the rear of Trader Joe's and either the demolition and replacement of the now vacant Jared Jewelers building with the new 7,360 square-foot restaurant building with an outdoor eating or redesign of the existing building's exterior to accommodate a restaurant use and outdoor dining.

In addition, associated improvements including the reconfiguration of the parking layout, installation of new landscaping, lighting and pedestrian amenities, and changes to the sign criteria and SDD conditions are proposed.

Rollcall, Ms. Labrot?

1445 to 1459 New Britain Avenue.

MS. LABROT: Ms. Blanks?

COUNCILOR BLANKS: Here.

1 MS. LABROT: Ms. Cantor? 2 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Here. 3 MS. LABROT: Mr. Davidoff? 4 COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: Here. 5 MS. LABROT: Ms. Fay. 6 COUNCILOR FAY: Here. 7 MS. LABROT: Mr. Gold? 8 COUNCILOR GOLD: Here. 9 MS. LABROT: Ms. Kerrigan? 10 COUNCILOR KERRIGAN: Here. 11 MS. LABROT: Mr. Sweeney is absent. Mr. Wenograd is 12 absent. Mr. Williams? 13 COUNCILOR WILLIAMS: Here. 14 MS. LABROT: And we have alternate Mr. Mathews? 15 MR. MATHEWS: Here. 16 MS. LABROT: And alternate Mr. Stafford? 17 MR. STAFFORD: Here. 18 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you, Ms. Labrot. And thank 19 you Mr. Stafford and Mr. Mathews, for being here, 20 to both of you for being here tonight to fill in. 21 So we will start with a presentation from the 22 applicant, but before we get started I just want 23 to set forth some procedures for the public 24 hearing. The applicant will make a presentation. 25 Following the presentation members of the public

will have an opportunity to comment on the
application. You will have the opportunity to
sign up to speak.

Comments from members of the public should be limited to three minutes each. A group of individuals who wish to comment on the application should be limited to 15 minutes. All persons who are interested in speaking on the application should enter his or her name on the sign-up sheet. The Chair will call the speakers from the sign-up sheet. All persons recognized by the Chair will approach the podium before commenting and will give his or her name and address.

The Chair will ensure an orderly hearing, me.

If necessary I will take steps to make sure that

everybody is respectful and keeps good decorum at

all times.

So I guess I would always reserve the right to continue the public hearing if the presentations/comments go on too long just as a caviat. That is not the goal here. The goal would be to conclude the hearing.

Okay. So with that, we'll start the presentation from the applicant.

MS. PEARSON: Good evening, Madam Mayor, members of the

Town Council. My name is Robin Pearson. I am an attorney with the firm of Alter & Pearson in Glastonbury, Connecticut, and I'm pleased to be here this evening before you to represent the applicant formerly known as FW CT-Corbin's Corner Shopping Center, LLC, which is the owner of 1459 New Britain Avenue in this application for improvements to that portion of SDD Number 6 that it owns, Corbin's Corner Shopping Center.

And I think what I'd like to do at this point is bring down the screen and be able to take a look at some of the exhibits that we have to support our application this evening.

While the screen is coming down I would just like to direct your attention to the fact that the sign was appropriately posted on the property before the opening of the public hearing. You have the signed affidavit. So the original has been provided to the Council Clerk this evening.

Also I'd just like to mention as we go through the application I'm going to refer to the applicant as Regency. Regency is indeed the owner of the shopping center -- or operator, if you will, of the shopping center, not the owner of the land per se. It owns the land under that LLC that

I just mentioned, and technically that is the applicant this evening, the owner of the property itself.

But we will refer to Regency. It is a

Regency Center, one of many that they own up and
down the East Coast and otherwise. They, as noted
in the application document submitted, like to
locate their centers in places that are considered
prosperous high-end attractive vibrant
communities, and that was one of the reasons that
they originally came to West Hartford and acquired
this particular site and this particular shopping
center.

With that, can we go to the aerial photograph?

PRESIDENT CANTOR: Just speak loudly.

MS. PEARSON: So the exhibit you have up before you -and this exhibit is on both sides of the screen so
the audience can see what we are alluding to -shows it outlined in yellow the entire SDD Number
6. It goes up here.

The property that is owned by Regency is the southern portion of Special Development District Number 6, the Corbin's Corner Shopping Center.

And you'll note that the buildings, there are two

buildings that form the main portion of the shopping center, one in which, Edge Fitness is located in the corner and also Trader Joe's to the south of it on the other arm of the SDD portion that's owned by Regency.

Also located on the site is the Red Robin
Restaurant. This is the Jared's building that is
vacant at the moment, and the bank is up in this
area, all of which are facilities that are owned
by Regency within this special development
district.

The application before you tonight proposes a renovation of the facades of those buildings, not Red Robin, but the two main lines of stores and also the old Jared's building, which as I'll explain later will actually be removed and a new structure will be constructed if the application is approved.

The application also calls for improvements to the sidewalks out front of those buildings, and a 2550 square-foot addition to the rear of Trader Joe's basically backroom facility for the Trader Joe's store.

A new 7,630 'foot restaurant with outdoor dining generally in the area of the existing

Jared's building is proposed, and also changes to the sign criteria which have been in place for a while which govern tenant signage on this portion of Special Development District Number 6.

When filed, when this application was filed on December 6th of 2019 we were in a bit of a different situation than we are now. And the application as proposed then included an alternative partly because Regency wasn't exactly sure how its reinvestment of this into this particular special development district would play out.

We sort of hedged our bets by submitting alternative proposals with that application, one of which called for leaving the Jared's building as it currently exists, leaving the footprint and just doing renovations to the exterior of that building, also including outdoor dining to support restaurant use, but not doing any changes around the building of any significance.

At that point in time Regency was looking to secure a restaurant tenant, but had not completed that. And in fact, at this point there is no lease signed with regard to a restaurant tenant, but as I'll explain that alternative as well as a

proposal that was originally in place -- and we'll go into this in a little more detail later -- to redo, realign drive aisles and make changes to the parking layout in the site were also part of the overall renovation of the center as of December 6th, when the application was filed.

It would have called for moving the aisle so that you could get more parking spaces available, for instance, in this area down by in the southeasterly part of the site, sort of in front of the Trader's and Edge Fitness area.

However, since the submission was made

Regency has now committed to proceeding with

construction of the new restaurant, therefore no

longer needing the alternative plan to reuse the

existing Jared's. And it is in the process of and

hopes to be able to sign -- though it hasn't

happened, so the end tenant could change -- but it

is interested in bringing a BJ's Restaurant Bar

and Grill to the site.

It would mean a new structure, and as you'll see in more detail later it will be located closer to New Britain Avenue. And that is the 7,630 square-foot building that was originally proposed, although it's siting on the site has changed a

little bit.

Also as you are aware because of the submission today, from the firm of Stanger and Stanfield on behalf of Edge Fitness and Red Robin restaurant two tenants are objecting to the restaurant that is proposed. And Edge Fitness has indicated also to Regency that they cannot support the proposed improvements with the driveway realignment and the additional parking down in that part of the parking field.

So we have stripped down the application and gone is the alternate plan to reuse the Jared's building for restaurant use. And gone is the plan for realignment of the main drive to make changes to the parking field which we thought were positive -- but so be it. They're not on the table now.

So if this application that we are presenting to you this evening is approved those two proposals would not be included. The Regency would improve -- make all the changes to the facade of the buildings, the sidewalk areas in front of the buildings, create the new restaurant and do changes around that new restaurant, and do all of this starting in the spring if this

application is approved with the intention of doing everything at once in the center in a manageable way so that it will be possible to have all the work done before the holiday season at the end of this year.

It's an aggressive schedule. They know they can do it if we proceed as soon as possible, and that is why certainly from our perspective we were hoping we were going to be able to conclude everything this evening.

So you now have before you a new set of plans that was filed with you today. It removes all those alternatives. You all should have both PDF copies of that and paper copies of the new set of plans. You also have before you a new parking analysis dated February 24, 2020, which updates the prior updated parking analyses that were filed with the Town. That is the one that you should pay attention to and which we will discuss tonight.

And I just want to note that in our cover letter that included the plans and the new parking analysis we note for you that our traffic experts indicate that even at peak usage hours there are currently 639 vacant parking spaces in Special

Development District Number 6. That's the whole site. It's not just on our portion, of course.

And the updated parking analysis reflects also the addition of new parking spaces that we have proposed primarily in response to comments from town staff that this would be a good thing to do in the parking area between the new site and the existing bank, and we'll go into detail on that.

So as I said, we know that there are tenants here tonight and that they are going to speak in opposition to this application. Their claims apparently they say will arise from potentially lease restrictions.

I would just like to remind you that anything that has to do with what the private lease arrangements are between Regency and our tenants are just that. They're private contractual issues to the extent that they exist, and I assure you we do not say that the claims that you might hear about tonight are not valid claims, but that is not something that you need to worry about or consider, or in fact should you consider it when you make a decision on this application.

As you're well aware, what you need to look

at is what is allowed with regard to the zoning code for the Town of West Hartford. You should pay particular attention to the existing reviews that have taken place both by town administration and reviewing agencies with regard to the appropriateness of this application that is before you, and ultimately whether this is a good design and a good proposal for this particular site.

We feel that it's very unfortunate that we're here with opposition from our tenants. That's not what we would have liked to have happened. We wish that were not the case, but it is. And I assure you that Regency will continue to work with them to the extent that it's possible to resolve any issues that they might have -- but we have removed the driveway realignment which was of major concern to one of those tenants.

And we feel that this investment is still something that is totally worthy of your approval. Regency is committed to making a significant investment in this shopping center in town. The uses that are proposed conform to zoning. I will tell you that the investment is not only going to be made to the facade, but also with this restaurant we are going to be breathing new life

into a vacant dark building that's been dark for over ten months and add additional vitality to the center.

So you know, our vitality as we perceive it may be someone else's cause for concern, but we think by the time that we've gone through the parking analysis -- and frankly, this all comes down to parking and usage. We believe that we are going to be able to convince you that this is not going to be an impediment to the continued good functioning of this center.

Regency is committed to a significant investment. It's at least at this point looking to be \$7 million or more in this, in this center to accomplish which is now a limited upgrade of what they originally set out to do for the center.

So our presentation will go as follows.

Langan Engineering will go through the existing site and the particulars of the redesign. That will be led by David Gagnon who is our civil engineer. He'll go through the overall site characteristics and what we're proposing. He'll be followed by Lucas Mauro, who is our traffic engineer also with Langan Engineering. I have submitted to the Council Clerk their resumes. So

they are on file as part of the record.

And then following their presentation Marc
Moura who is an architect with Amenta Emma will
talk about the inspiration for the design for the
renovation of the facades and exactly how that
will look and what we're trying to do with regard
to this application.

I'd like to mention to you also Rebecca Wing is here on behalf of Regency. She is the senior manager of investments, should you have any particular questions for Regency that I cannot answer. But she is present, so I just wanted to let you know that that was the case.

Once they are finished with their presentation I'll just quickly address the outreach effort of the responses we received and go through the staff and administrative reviews. Obviously, it would probably be easier if we hold questions until we're finished with the presentation. And of course, we would like an opportunity to rebut any of the information that is brought forth in opposition to the application.

So with that, I'd like to turn it over to Langan Engineering.

DAVID GAGNON: Thank you. For the record my name is

David Gagnon. I'm a professional civil engineer with Langan Engineering here representing the applicant, Regency Centers.

I'd like to really briefly go over the existing conditions of the site, kind of nail down our initial application, go through our current application and our proposed improvements, and then after that I'll pass it off to our traffic professional.

Really briefly, right now you're looking at -- this is SDD Number 6, known as Corbin's Corner. It's a mixed-use plaza located at 1445 through 1459 New Britain Avenue. It's split into two parcels -- and this is important to note. If you look through the center of the property, or the SDD there's actually a property line. North of that property line is not controlled by Regency. That is controlled by Seritage. So we do not have any control over that.

We're focusing this application on the southern portion of the SDD which is the Edge Fitness, the Old Navy, the Jared's and the development you see on the south.

Our portion of the lot is roughly 20 acres.

The site totals 33 acres as a whole, SDD Number 6.

The site is bound by the state right of way on the north and western sides. This is New Britain

Avenue, Route 71. To the east of our site we have residential properties. To the northeast is commercial property and to the south we have more residential properties.

And this really focuses on the portion of the site controlled by Regency. So this is the southern portion of the site.

A few things I want to point out real, real briefly to make sure everyone has their orientation on the site. On the northwest corner of the site you'll see Bank of America. Central to the site is the currently vacant Jared's. In the southwest corner of the site we have the Red Robin, and then we have the main retail strip which is occupied by the anchor tenants such as the Best Buy on the southwest, Trader Joe's, Edge Fitness, Old Navy and Total Wine.

A few things to note with the existing conditions is main access to this portion of the property is via a signalized intersection. This is just west of Jared's. That continues to the main entry drive to the site which basically cuts the main parking field almost in half and goes

almost directly to the Edge Fitness.

And one thing to notice is if you look at the southeast corner of the site the parking field really gets pinched in that area. Our initial application tried to fix this and improve the quality of the parking. We since revised our application due to concerns of the tenants, and I'll go over that.

And one thing to note that there is currently 1,659 parking spaces in SD Number 66, and that's on both the northern and the southern portion of the site in total. We will be increasing that parking count by 25, which we we'll note in our presentation.

And I'd like to bring you through the history of our application. This gives you a rendering of the initial application that we had back in December. And as we talked about one of the main -- from a site perspective one of the main improvements that we had was we tried to improve the quality of parking in front of that southeast corner by adjusting that parking drive to the north. And what we did was we essentially bumped that parking drive to maximize parking in front of Edge, in front of Trader Joe's. We since had to

revise this because of pushback from the tenants.

Other improvements on the site, there's a complete renovation to the building facade. So this is the entire north building, entire south building facade renovations. This included hard-scaping improvements along the sidewalk, lighting improvements and landscaping improvements.

And one of the main improvements that we had in addition to this was the replacement of the Jared's with a new BJ's Brewhouse. There's not a lease signed for BJ's Brewhouse, but that's the intent. So it would be a complete demolition of the Jared's, replace that with the BJ's Brewhouse.

And in doing that we also eliminated -there's an existing drive that was just to the
west of the Jared's. So we closed that to reduce
congestion at that main entrance of the site. So
by doing so we are improving traffic flow through
the site.

A few other improvements that we had was a small expansion on the backside of Trader Joe's and that was really just kind of back-of-house storage, just more space for Trader Joe's operation.

This was our initial application, and one thing to note is with this layout we were increasing the parking by 58 in that area in front of Edge Fitness and Trader Joe's.

One of the reasons why we could not pursue this original application is there is an area referenced in the lease between the tenant and between Regency. It's that large red box. So they have control, or at least a say in what goes on in that area. So our driveway realignment would not work with those. We couldn't come to an agreement. So we had to avoid working in that big red box.

So to do that we had to go back to the drawing board and resubmit our application, which brings us to what you have in front of you today, our current application -- which is this.

What you're seeing here is essentially knocking down the Jared's, replacing it with the BJ's Brewhouse, increasing the parking around the Jared's and around the BJ's Brewhouse. But we have, in total we increased the park count versus the existing condition by 25.

We weren't able to realign that drive as we intended. So our current application does not

touch that parking field at all in front of the Edge Fitness, Trader Joe's. It really focuses on just around that Jared's increasing parking, increasing landscaping. The rest of our application has not changed as far as the building facade renovations, the hard-scaping improvements in front of the facade, lighting, landscaping and the expansion of the Trader Joe's.

So with that we also did -- to confirm that the parking capacity was on site. We formed a number of parking studies, and to go over the existing parking counts our proposed parking counts -- at the conclusion of our analysis, I have our traffic engineer Luke Mauro from Langan.

MAURO: Good evening everyone. For the record my

LUKE MAURO: Good evening everyone. For the record my name is Luke Mauro, traffic engineer with Langan Engineering. I'm a professional engineer in the state of Connecticut and also a professional traffic operations engineer.

I'm just going to briefly run through the latest parking assessment that was submitted on behalf of the application yesterday. As David mentioned we prepared a parking assessment to verify the adequacy of both the existing and proposed on-site parking programs.

based

based on our parking counts; let me start off by stating we had completed parking counts on Friday December 6, 2019, from 3 to 7 p.m. We also completed parking counts on Saturday, December 7th, 2019, from 11 to 7 p.m., which generally captured the peak hours of operation and the parking demand for a retail mixed-use center such as this.

A general summary of the existing conditions

And we completed the counts in December in order to capture peak season operations of a typical retail facility such as this. Shortly there afterwords, I believe it was late December the Edge fitness opened up in the southeast corner of the plaza. In this case that would be the lower left corner of the plaza.

So we conducted a second round of parking counts in January to account for the recent opening of the Edge Fitness. Those parking counts were conducted Saturday, January 25, 2020. Those were from 11 to 7 p.m., and again on Tuesday, January 28, 2020, from 4 to 8 p.m. So all in all, 24 hours total worth of parking counts completed over four different dates at the center.

After analyzing the data we developed what is

the peak parking demand of the overall center,

peak meaning the parking demand over that 24 hours

worth of count data, the parking demand

experienced for the highest half hour of those 24

hours worth of data.

So after taking into account all the data collected, as David stated, there's 1659 existing parking spaces on site. The highest period of peak parking demand was 1,020 occupied parking spaces, which accounts for a total number of 639 existing unoccupied parking spaces based on the data we collected on those four dates.

After accounting for the existing conditions we prepared an estimate of what the future parking demand of the plaza would be. Just briefly, as David mentioned we're adding twelve parking spaces in the -- what we're calling the parking area, sub-area F which is the location of the proposed restaurant and the existing bank pad.

There is also -- and that change of three spaces in the vicinity of Total Wine, and there's also that change of ten parking spaces behind the proposed easterly building.

So all in all, with the increased total of 25 parking spaces the total proposed parking program

for the development at full build out would be 1684 parking spaces.

So accounting for the occupancy of the Edge Fitness, there's a vacant Rossinalli's in the corner of the plaza there as well. And also accounting for the proposed restaurant, the anticipated future peak parking demand of the center accounting for shared use, different credits for different times of day, the fact that the restaurant user themself doesn't typically peak at the same time as retail, or perhaps maybe a gym user would.

We calculated that the anticipated future peak parking demand would be approximately 1,060 parking spaces, which based on our proposed parking count would leave still a total of 624 unoccupied parking spaces at full build out.

To take it one step further we did a confined parking analysis for what we're calling sub-area F here, which as I had stated is the location between the proposed restaurant pad and the bank. Existing parking in this area, 222 parking spaces. With our proposed additions there on the top of the plan you can see we're adding 12 parking spaces to this area, which results in a net total

of 234 proposed parking spaces at full build out.

During our data collection the highest observed peak parking demand, again for only one 30-minute period out of that whole 24 hours there was -- the highest parking demand in this sub-area was 90 spaces during our existing data collection, leaving at full build out a total of 144 unoccupied parking spaces before you account for the proposed restaurant user.

We looked at a couple things in terms of what the demand of the proposed restaurant use may be. We looked at ITE data, the Institute of Traffic Engineers which is the industry standard for estimating parking and traffic, traffic demand for various uses. Based on the ITE data for a restaurant of this size it's estimated that peak usage would demand about 121 parking spaces for restaurant use of this size.

As you can see, the peak demand of 121 spaces is less than 144 total spaces that will be left in this area upon full built out.

We also took a look at your zoning ordinance.

Based on the zoning ordinance there I believe

there's one space required for every three seats.

So this would equate to the total parking

requirement per the zoning ordinance of 86 parking
spaces for the proposed restaurant, again
significantly lower than the 144 total unoccupied
parking spaces estimated for this area at full
build out.

So in summary, there's 1684 total parking spaces proposed in SDD 6 at full build out. We believe that's adequate to accommodate the proposed restaurant and we also believe that no further parking evaluation is required in that effect.

Just a brief touch on traffic. We did make a submission to the Town of West Hartford as part of our application, a brief memo, a traffic memo. We also made an administrative decision request application with the Connecticut DOT Office of State Traffic Administration. That's required for a change for any use that is over 100,000 square feet of total building area, or over 200 parking spaces. Any change to a development of that size does require review and approval from OSTA.

OSTA has reviewed our materials. They're in agreement that the project won't have a substantial impact on the state highway system.

No offsite mitigation is required, and I have a

letter I will submit to the record -- not a letter. I'm sorry, an e-mail from OSTA that came through on February 7th. I'll read it out loud.

Good morning, Luke. The revised plan is incorporated -- change and requested, and is acceptable. Please submit hard copies of the plan along with Planning and Zoning and Town Council approval. With all those items, all comments will have been addressed and we can issue the administrative decision for the project.

So basically the only thing outstanding in terms of OSTA's review is the Town Council approval to move forward with this application.

And with that, I will submit this.

And hand it over to Mark to tell you about the architecture.

MARC MOURA: Good evening, Town Council. For the record, my name is Marc Moura. I'm Senior Associate and Director of Design of Amenta Emma Architects.

I just sort of want to, you know, I always enjoy the process of educating you guys a little bit on how our office works and how we get to our design decisions. So this first slide, what you're seeing up here right now is really just

showing you the extent of the facade replacement that we're focusing on.

You know, this center has been layered upon layered upon and scabbed on for many years now. It's dated. The brick on the columns are falling apart. The cornice is a mess. The edifice is pretty disgusting. As we studied the, you know, the underside of the canopy and all the existing walls where the storefronts are, the storefronts are generally in pretty great shape, but it's just like a hodgepodge of materials that sprinkle all the way down the entire elevation.

So something with Amenta Emma, and what we like to think in looking at the slide is, kind of, you almost have to start from the beginning and think about, all right. What is a good base to start with? And we don't really like what you're seeing on the left there, which is primarily a lot of the retail that's happening today.

It's just this excessive variety. It's almost like fake architecture. It's successful. It's doing well. That's why it's getting built all over the place, but we don't really think that it really represents West Hartford's vision for this retail center.

So we're more focused on a consistent element, and as I start to go along in the presentation you'll see where these consistent elements start to take shape.

Here's a slide of some of the existing elevations that stand there today, and then you can see some of our process sketches that we've worked through to try to understand where the consistent elements would start to take shape.

And of course, it's going to be the major tenants, Total Wine, Old Navy, Edge, Trader Joe's and Best Buy.

But when you start to break up those proportions and start to understand what's happening there's a rhythm that comes out of it, and you'll see on that lower image -- this is, kind of, a very early on sketch. So there's a couple more moves other than what's there now, but it starts to break it down into, kind of, an A, B, C -- almost a "D" read.

And when you look at those, that break down that and sort of determines the proportions of the scale for, you know, for an example A. And then the materiality that would be used, and then how it starts to go down to the B read. You know, A

always ends up being the anchor tenants; B the secondary tenants; and then what we're referring to as C is we're calling it, sort of, the tissue, which is really that existing back wall that exists there today.

When we first looked at the project we actually thought about completely removing the canopy. You know, it's 600 feet from the nail salon all the way down to Edge Fitness, and then from old Rossinalli's to the corner of Best Buy is another 600 feet. It's a pretty long elevation.

For us we thought, you know, we have to break it up somewhat and the consistent elements would be a good way of doing it. But what we realized when we took down the complete -- the entire canopy was that we almost just created the same thing that's out there today. We just pushed it back to that one wall.

So with a little bit of pushback from some of the tenants and wanting to have some protection for some of their carts like Trader Joe's and Total Wine and Best Buy, we felt that we can use some of the existing structure, start to save some money and keep the canopy where the major anchors are. So that would be the A read in those

elevations. So again, that's the Total Wine, Old Navy, Edge Fitness, Trader Joe's and Best Buy.

And then from there we have the secondary read, which goes down to the B read. And they have two different types of architectural styles, but it's a consistent element. The A is consistent. The B is consistent.

I think with keeping some of the canopy in some of these areas it allowed it to have some relief. You know, you often think back to, like, a town center, and what makes a town center successful. You know West Hartford especially is successful because of all the many layers of our history that's happened over time. And a lot of the in's and out's where there's little pockets for outdoor seating and then, you know, storefronts are set back. Some storefronts are brought up to the street.

So it really adds a nice dynamic as one is walking down the street. And when we start to think about how we can handle a similar approach with these consistent elements, you want to think of it as not being so much as just another typical strip mall off the side of the highway.

The thing about this, this shopping center is

it it's pushed back so far. It really does sit behind a sea of parking, and for the user experience the idea was to try to soften it up as much as possible so that it can be an enjoyable experience with them. By breaking apart the canopy and having those reliefs it allowed us to create little areas for streetlights, planters, benches, and it starts to allow activity to happen along that 600-foot element and they all terminate to that one corner where the pocket park is -- which I'll get into a little bit later.

So here's some rendering views. This is looking down if you're -- actually Trader Joe's is right there to the right, and looking down at Edge Fitness with Old Navy off to the left there. So we've spent a lot of time detailing on how we're handling the surrounds.

It's really not a typical way of detailing these surrounds, and we've actually been working very closely with our construction team and Regency to really spend the money so that it really sets itself aside from what you're seeing in retail construction these days, and I'll get into some of the material choices in a couple slides.

So now this is looking if you're over by Old Navy looking at Edge Fitness, and then a possible tenant moving into Rossinalli's. So I don't know if anybody really remembers or have used that little space, that little wedge park in between Edge and Rossinalli's. It was a pretty scary spot. And you know, I know actually a lot of people in our office park there because it's easier for them just to get to Trader Joe's from that, behind the building. And they always say, it's kind of a scary space to walk through.

So there's been some improvements that were in the lease agreement with Edge. There's some new pavers down there. There's some catenary lighting, but once we get approval there's more that's going to be added to it.

There's going to be some outdoor seating.

There's going to be site furniture. There's going to be planted berms, and then we're actually going to, you know, Regency is going to employ a local artist to do a nice mural.

And I'm sure some people here know the history of the whole Corbin's Company, but the start of the keys. So we'd like to go on the idea of maybe bringing back some history in this area

and have, you know, try to get the artist to integrate that whole theme of keys along that mural.

This next image gives it a little bit of appearance at night. So you can see the activity of people really, kind of, going in and out of those canopies, the streetlights, some of the benches, the planters.

In terms of lighting we have the anchor tenants. They have an LED strip at the very light. It does not spread glare up. It just keeps it within that zone, and it's almost a wayfinding for people who are driving on New Britain Ave.

Another thing, too. As you make your way down on the sidewalk there, there's ways of softening up the material read. You know, there is a lot of asphalt out there. There's a lot of concrete out there. You know, there's a lot of existing brick on the building now. Like I said, that's not in great shape, but we wanted to use some elements that can soften it up a little bit.

So under each canopy of major anchor tenants is a wood material with some recessed lanyard lighting to really allow for those areas

to glow at night and to be a safe environment.

These are just some of our construction drawings, and I have some materials laid out over here. I'll probably be off mic if I go to explain them. I will try to talk loud enough.

PRESIDENT CANTOR: I think you're going to have to be on the mic.

LUKE MAURO: Yeah. You think so? All right.

I'll use the laser pointer to point to them and see if that works.

So I guess what I'll start off with is it's the major anchor tenants right here. So we're using a fiber cement. It's not your typical fiber cement product that you'd see put on a residential house. It's a nine-inch reveal shiplap. So instead of sprinkling metal panel all over this thing we decided to have that horizontal line read with the shiplap material. It's a very fine joint and all you'll see is the lines.

And then with the columns, we have the columns that come up, and that same proportion kind of comes up, comes across the band and down. And again, that's the same material, just a different color. So we have a light gray that comes up around and down, and then the major sign

band what you see here is all in white. And then you can see those brown wood canopies that are underneath.

Now all the existing signage that's out there today, we'll reuse it. So there's no change in dimensions of this sign. It's all going to be torn down -- or not torn down. It's going to be taken down carefully and reused. And then what makes this a finer detail is there's a slight edge that comes up vertically and comes across, and its sort of bookends that, that larger consistent read.

It's like a nice fine detail to thin up the columns, you know, versus just mitering a corner of wrapping the same material around. It gives it a really, kind of, nice fine detail to it. All the bases have granite so that if carts run into it it's not going to fall apart. Fiber cement is actually a pretty durable material. You hit it with a hammer and it takes a hit pretty good.

So any carts that go out of control, it should be able to handle it fine. And again, that granite base is set up a little bit so that it will at least stop the major impact that would hit the columns.

Now on the secondary reads what you'll see up here we have a very similar read, just slightly different colors and then a little bit of a different material change. So that, that inner band right there is the same fiber cement product, that shiplap panel that's called James Hardie Aspen Edition, if you want to Google and check it out.

But instead of doing that same read that we had up here we went to a Hardie reveal panel. So it's a fiber cement panel with a repeal joint. So that it starts to give its own identity for that B read. And then what ties them together is that fine little edge in that granite base like we did on the major tenant.

Now the tissue, the tissue of the project has been, kind of, this ongoing conversation on how we handle it. When we first presented the job we thought, we'll just break it all down. And you know, we'll do brick. We'll do thin brick.

Because this place has gone through so many different renovations we don't know what we're going to open up in those walls and we just don't want the cost to also skyrocket because we're taking out brick to put up a thin brick. Okay.

So was that successful? No, it's not.

So we strategically took the time to find out where we could use brick appropriately so that the brick wasn't gone. So there's a nice tissue of in and out reads that happen between the brick and the edifice. And the brick we chose is a white brick. One is a smooth finish and the other is a wire cut. And you know, it's like a 50/50 mix so that it will -- when the masons hang it, they hang it pretty randomly.

But what it allows is it allows a nice shadow effect to happen on the brick because the wire cut picks up a different shadow than the shine, shiny brick. And the shiny brick allows a little bit of a reflection to happen.

So instead of having a very large brick wall that you see there it actually starts to animate itself in a way, and that becomes an area where there's some benches and planters that happen in that zone.

This box right here, you can see is that fiber cement. These aren't the colors we're using. It's just Hardie doesn't like to send you the colors you actually want without them charging you. And you know, we have -- I'm just showing

you here some metal and that's just because all the existing storefront that's out there now, it's black. We're not doing anything to existing storefront. We're not replacing doors. We're not replacing windows. Everything there is staying the way it looks. We're just re-cladding the exterior.

So now this moves us onto the restaurant which, you know, this theme was based on fitting the BJ's beer house into the design. Again, they don't have a signed lease yet, but when you're trying to attract these tenants the best thing to do is to focus the design on what they want.

Now I don't know if anyone has been to a BJ's Brewhouse here, but this is pretty much the opposite of their esthetic. And I know Regency and Amenta Emma has been working pretty hard to say, hey look. You know, we've spent a lot of time with this, this renovation and personally we'd like you to take some cues of what we're doing in the shopping center and using them, versus just putting up some architecture we might not like.

So they allowed us to work with the pallet, with the white brick, some of the wood, you know,

big windows. You know, try to break up the proportions a little bit differently than how the Jared's is there today. You can see some of their dumpster enclosure in the back, the use of murals, they'll animate it. We think it will be a really fine addition to the plaza and really nice gateway in. It could be a pretty attractive building compared to some of the out-parcel buildings there that I won't name, but you know, the material pallet is the same.

And then of course there's always the signage package that comes with it. Like I said, the signage on the existing signage that's out we're reusing, but we are proposing a new pylon sign.

Now the pylon sign is still within the height restriction limits that are out there today. So it's not any taller.

It's actually not as wide as the one that's out there, but what we've worked out is that the tenant signs themselves are all going to remain the same dimension, but instead of having a bunch of sprinkled different colors that are quite confusing when cars are flying on New Britain Ave, we decided to do a monochrome.

It will still have their same font which is

pretty noticeable for most people, but the idea of having a more monochromatic color at least doesn't make it very confusing for someone to not understand it as they're driving by.

We also have some blade signs. So as, you know, like one would walking down a town you would see the blade signs that come off the building or hang from the canopies above. And those, those are currently out there today. I don't think anyone really notices them, but we're hoping that the ones that we're proposing, that they'll look a little bit more attractive and actually do what their purpose is.

And then -- Dave, you want to get back up?

DAVID GAGNON: Yeah, sure.

For the record, Dave Gagnon with Lagnan
Engineering. Just really briefly I want to give
you a flavor of what we're doing with the
landscaping, lighting, site improvements
throughout the site. This is just the basic plant
image board you'll see on the site.

The shade trees, those will be within the parking field. Any parking spots we're touching we're going to have one of these shade trees in compliance with local regulations. So you're

going to see ginkgos. You're going to see red maples.

Ornamental trees, these are going to be in that pocket park which we talked about. They're going to be around the BJ's and also where there is a little grove in front of the existing Jared's of some of these river birches. We're going to be relocating those river birches to, kind of, the same general area, but not removing any of them.

And then throughout the site especially around the BJ's and in the pocket park you're going to see shrubs, ornamental grasses and ground cover. This is to give you kind of an idea of what that would look like.

And then site furnishings, these site furnishings will be along the facade, and of course in front of BJ's. We're going to have pedestrian scale lighting. This lighting is going to be, as Mark spoke to, along the facade. It's really just kind of to highlight what path you're supposed to be taking; twelve feet high, pedestrian scale, not very bright.

And then we have additional bike racks
throughout the site. There's going to be one in
the corner by that pocket park. There's going to

be one by the Best Buy and another station of bike racks by the proposed restaurant.

You're also going see new benches throughout the facade, and then we're going to have planter beds planted with seasonal plantings throughout the year. So this will give a flavor of what the site furnishings will look like.

And with that I'll hand it back over to the attorney.

MS. PEARSON: Thank you, David.

So that ends the technical presentation on the application. I'd like to just briefly address your attention to the outreach report which has been filed with the Council. There are extra copies should you wish paper copies, but the report went in -- I think it was almost a month ago.

The report indicates that the applicant sent a mailing out to the neighborhood surrounding SDD Number 6. It was sent to approximately 93 property owners inviting them to a meeting on January 2nd for an opportunity to meet with the applicant and its consultants. It had the engineer there, the architect there and representatives from Regency.

The mailing to the neighbors was also quite informative. It described the application and had two renderings, one of the site plan and one of what the buildings would look like once the improvements were made to the facade. It also invited them to contact Regency if they had any reason that they would like to have a one-on-one personal meeting, or had any comments they would like to convey to the applicant.

The meeting took place on January 2nd. One neighbor did attend. That is noted in the materials you received. That neighbor indicated that he was pleased with the proposed changes to the facade and he liked the idea of having a restaurant added to the mix up at the front of the site.

We also provided to you two e-mails that we received prior to our mailing going out which weren't solicited by the mailing, but we think were just responsive to the posting of information about the application on the Town's website. And one person -- both of them were quite thoughtful and lengthy and I just want to summarize for you what they said.

The first person was concerned with the

elimination of the canopy. And I believe it was subsequent to that response, not directly in response to those comments, but that we made sure that there are still canopies as Marc Moura showed you with regard certainly to the major tenants. So there are protected areas in front of those stores. That individual indicated that they wanted a place to be able to get out of the elements.

And then the second, the second e-mail was from someone who said, gee, I don't think we need another restaurant. What we really need is to improve the size of Trader Joe's and have a larger Trader Joe's store.

So those comments are included. I will tell you that when I was here at the opening of the public hearing that was immediately continued at your last meeting there was someone in the audience who also reiterated, or said to me that she would be interested in having a dedicated space for electrical car charging behind the Trader Joe's store, and I did relay that information to my client.

And that is it for responses that we have had from the neighborhood, and any communications that

I've had with the town administration to check on what comments may have come in. I have indicated there have been no comments other than those from the tenants, and you are going to hear from their representative shortly with regard to these proposed improvements. So that's our outreach effort and those are the comments that we received.

With regard to the town reviews, two came in tonight. We had previously received engineering and planning signoffs, and I have been provided with two February 25th memorandums, one from the planner who said there are no -- planning division received the above referenced revised plans -- and those are the ones before you -- supporting information and narrative, and does not have any technical comments or concerns with the plan revisions.

And also one from Duane Martin, PE, Town
Engineer, dated February 25th in which he said the
engineering division reviewed the revised parking
assessment dated February 24, 2020, for the
1445-1459 New Britain Avenue proposed Corbin's
Corner renovation, and have no comments. We find
the parking assessment to be acceptable as it

identifies the parking demand associated with the proposed additions, and modifications to the shopping center will be accommodated.

You also have a Town Plan and Zoning
Commission unanimous favorable review in which the
Town Plan and Zoning Commission said the
application is consistent with the current POCD
goal to strengthen the community's tax base by
promoting growth and retaining of existing
businesses, and new development. And the
commission also noted that the application is
consistent with several recommended actions and
the yet to be adopted draft of the POCD basically
which is supportive of reinvestment in properties.

You have a report from the Design Review
Advisory Committee which was very favorably
inclined towards the application as designed by
Amenta Emma and Langan Engineering. And indicated
in their transmittal to you of January 21st that
the applicant should be complemented on their
commitment for the inclusion of local artists work
as a design element of the project. The proposed
architectural elements, materials and overall
design is of high quality and the proposed design
is sensitive to the human scale and will improve

the overall pedestrian experience within the shopping plaza.

Other reports that you have in the file, you have no objections by fire, by police, West Hartford/Bloomfield Health District. And you have a letter from the Metropolitan District indicating that sewer and water service are adequate.

Obviously, we'll need to pursue agreements with them for the actual physical connections to their lines, but in terms of servicing of the property everything has been satisfied as far as they're concerned.

So with regard to -- the application sets out the reasons as to why we also believe this application is consistent with the requirements for approval. Pursuant to Section 177-44 of your regulations I think it's fair to say that the application is in harmony with the overall objectives of the comprehensive plan, which is your zoning scheme.

It supports additional retail enhancements.

It supports restaurant use which is a use that's allowed in the underlying zone, and is also present in the shopping center already and in SDD Number 6. It's complementary to other

_

developments in the area.

I think it's fair to say that the amount of design scrutiny this application has received is significant, and you can rely on your own Design Review Advisory Committee in terms of feeling comfortable that the design elements are of the highest quality, which is certainly a criteria that you must think about in deciding a special development district application. And we believe the proposed improvements will be in harmony with the existing department within Special Development District Number 6 because the only issue that really has come to the fore has to do with parking.

And at the end of the day there are still
many spaces even during peak time that will remain
vacant as far as our professional engineers have
ascertained with regard to the use of this site
with the new restaurant. And I believe it's fair
to say that your town engineering staff agreed
with our experts' assessment. So with that we
would like to reserve an opportunity for rebuttal.
PRESIDENT CANTOR: I do have a question. On your

coversheet you had said the applicant requests a waiver of Section 177-44ClA, requirement that all

1 2

```
1
         owners to land and buildings within a special
2
         development district sign any application to amend
3
         an SDD -- and you had said something.
4
              Could you explain that a little bit and if
5
         you've heard anything back?
6
    MS. PEARSON: You're asking for the waivers?
7
    PRESIDENT CANTOR:
                       Yes.
8
    MS. PEARSON: They're not waivers, but to just go
9
         through exactly what it is that doesn't comply at
10
         the moment?
11
    PRESIDENT CANTOR: Right. What you did ultimately?
    MS. PEARSON: David, on the special development
12
13
         district zoning chart, what if any waivers were
14
         requested? And I think they would deal with the
15
         proximity of the setback along New Britain Avenue.
16
         I know that was one thing we were originally
17
         looking for.
18
    PRESIDENT CANTOR: No, I'm specifically referring to --
19
         I think you need to go over that, but I'm
20
         referring to I think the letter mailed that all
21
         the -- to the land and buildings owner sign?
22
    MS. PEARSON: Oh, the original?
23
    PRESIDENT CANTOR: Right.
24
    MS. PEARSON: Oh, I'm sorry.
25
    PRESIDENT CANTOR: Way back to January -- oh, December.
```

Sorry.

MS. PEARSON: December. That's why. We did send the materials to Seritage under the name of the entity that actually owns the northern part of Special Development District Number 6. We also followed up with e-mail transmittal.

I have had several communications with

Attorney Hayes who was their land-use counsel when
they came in for the improvements to their portion
of SDD Number 6 a while back. And she also
communicated with the -- with Seritage folks and
authorized me to say that Seritage did not
indicate to her that they had any objections, and
that I could so represent that to you.

You'll note in the application that we are proposing to add three parking spaces on a portion of the property that is owned by Seritage. That would refine the driveway in that particular area and add some additional parking. We've had informal discussions with them, representatives of Seritage through Rebecca Wing who has met with them informally, it's fair to say, at the ICSC conference out of Las Vegas earlier this year. And they indicated they would have no objection.

We do not have a formal signoff from them

1 that we can do, add those three changes, but as is 2 noted on the plans we will not be able to do so 3 unless they say we can do it, but we have no 4 reason to believe that that will not come to pass. 5 So that is the extent of our communications with 6 Seritage and I apologize for being confused. 7 PRESIDENT CANTOR: No, I should have stated the date, 8 because if this was a while ago. So I appreciate 9 that. 10 MS. PEARSON: It was right at the time of application 11 submission in December. 12 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you. We will go to the 13 sign-up sheet and the presentation of -- I think 14 there might be a group of people that might want 15 to speak. 16 But I will actually see if any of my 17 Councilors have any questions so far. I'm sure we'll have more. 18 19 Mr. Davidoff? 20 COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: Attorney Pearson, you were going 21 to allude to other waivers with respect to this 22 particular application. I didn't see any in your 23 materials. 24 MS. PEARSON: My engineer is indicating to me there are 25 So we're all set. none.

1 COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: I just wanted to make sure that's 2 on the record. 3 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you. Any other questions? 4 5 (No response.) 6 7 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Okay. So I don't know if -- I had 8 heard that a few people wanted to come up 9 together. So I have Melissa Harris. I'll say the 10 first three. 11 David Sullivan, Vincent Sansone. So I have 12 Stanger Stanfield, Milone & MacBroom and Edge 13 Fitness, and then I have Richard Shelton from R&R 14 Realty. And then there's Christopher Vaso from 15 Edge Fitness, and then there's a couple other 16 people. So I don't know if a few of you are 17 coming up together? 18 MS. PEARSON: And I'm going to ask them whether -- I 19 assume you'd like our screen up -- oh, you want in 20 down? Okay. All right. 21 And did you want it on a particular plan, or 22 do you have something to add? You have your own. 23 Okay. 24 So Marc, I don't know if there's anything I 25 should do with this computer that's sitting on the 1 desk.

PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you, Attorney Pearson and team.

MS. HARRIS: Good evening. For the record my name is

Melissa Harris. I'm from Stanger Stanfield and I

have the pleasure of representing Edge Fitness and

R&R Realty doing business as Red Robin.

As I said, good evening, Madam Mayor and members of the Town Council. Just by way of business, my understanding is I heard the rules with regard to time. My understanding before coming here today is we would have a total of 20 minutes.

PRESIDENT CANTOR: I'm sure that that will be fine.

There's four or five of you signed up, and say,
five minutes each. And the room is not filled
with people who want to speak. So thank you.

MS. HARRIS: Great. Thank you. We are here today to object to the application, but specifically only with regard to the application where it seeks to change the Jared's building from a retail use to a restaurant use.

We have sat here today and we have seen a great presentation from Marc with regard to the architectural plans. We have no objection with

regard to the changes that are made to Trader

Joe's, but what we do have an objection to is the

parking. Okay?

We've heard today that there's going to be enough parking in the district, but the question is, where is that parking going to be? Right? There's a total -- or going to be a total of over 16,000 spaces in Corbin's Corner Center and in collection, which is that northern area.

So while we say we're not going to consider that northern area, that parking space is included in the analysis. I don't know about you, but I'm not going to park at Saks Fifth Avenue to go to Trader Joe's. It just doesn't make sense.

It's parking, it's traffic, and correlated with that is safety issues. That's our concern and we hope that that's your concern as well in determining whether or not to change the use from a low-impact traffic and parking user of retail use, which according to the ITE is 37 at its highest, to a high-impact traffic and parking user, a restaurant use which is 121 according to the ITE.

Now I ask the Councilmembers to take in consideration the purpose of zoning. Right?

That's what we're here for tonight. We're trying to guide the growth and development of the Town of West Hartford, but part of that is a consideration of the stability of each area for such use as indicated by existing conditions.

These are your existing conditions. And for the record I'm pointing to the slide here we have of the parking at Corbin's Corner. And what this is showing is peak hours. Peak hours for the restaurant are going to be the same peak hours for Edge. Right? You're going after work, five o'clock to seven o'clock. As you can see, the parking is already spilling into the Jared's lot.

I hope that you will take consideration of the fact that this property does not support and is not conducive to the increased intensity that this restaurant is going to bring. When we took a look at this application we were concerned. We were concerned for the totality of our own businesses, and the business that are already here at the center.

There's already a parking issue. There was a parking issue in 2005 when Red Robin came into the property. I'm not asking you to consider the

terms of their lease, but it was brought up
because it was a concern. And it was a concern in
2019 when Edge came into the property. And since
then -- let's be honest, Edge has only created
additional parking issues at the center.

You're going to hear today from David
Sullivan, he's the Manager of Traffic and
Transportation Planning from Milone & MacBroom.
We got him involved because we looked at the
Langan traffic study and we said there are some
issues with this. There are some issues, because
we know what's going in there. Right? We have a
BJ's going in there. And we know that there's a
BJ's in Manchester, and we know the kind of
business they're bringing in.

So we had our traffic engineer take a look and compare the Manchester BJ's and the Red Robin Manchester location to estimate the parking impact this BJ's is actually going to have on the center, and the results are quite astonishing.

Up to 170 parking spaces. Where are these people going to park? We're going to be at full capacity in some of these areas of parking. I ask you not to look at this parking as a whole, the 1,000 spaces stretched out over however many

acres, but I'm asking you to consider the area around where this proposed high intensity use is going to be. And make a determination, can it fit there?

And I think you'll see after Mr. Sullivan's presentation, it's not going to. It's not possible. This is not a proper location. Can it support a retail use? Maybe, probably, but this high-impact traffic parking user, it cannot.

You did get a copy of my letter and I apologize that it only came to you this morning. Just by way of a bit of a summary, edge Fitness intends to increase its membership. So the parking as it is today is only going to increase. Langan had indicated the results of the parking for Edge were skewed because it was January. Well, they had just opened. Their membership has increased and it's only intending to increase over time. It's intending to double between now and the next year or two.

The hard facts are that Edge has, during its peak current hours of operation in any one-hour timeframe, between -- let me see my numbers.

There's up to about 201 check-ins. So you have a lot of people coming in and out of Edge,

7 8

and that's just going to increase.

133 to 201 members checking in in a one-hour period. That doesn't count 28 employees parking there, and it doesn't include the people who are coming as guests, and it doesn't include all these people who are coming to check it out. So there are a lot of cars that Edge is bringing in, and it's just going to get more and more.

But the problem is, how are they going to expand if there's no room for people to park?

Right? That's a concern.

The other concern is, you have no room to park. Maybe that won't affect your high-end anchor tenants, but what about all the little guys? If you can't find parking, why are you going to frequent the center? Right? So we brought up the economics of it. All right? So we'll put in a restaurant.

Listen, we don't mind seeing the building not vacant, but the restaurant is going to impact everybody else. You as Councilmembers have the ability to use your own insight, your own knowledge of this area in making this decision.

If you've been there you know how congested it is.

The other issue that we have with the

application is a determination that there's no need for a traffic study. Because supposedly there's only going to be less than 100 cars coming in and out at any given hour. Again, we know from our traffic study that Mr. Sullivan will talk about that there could be up to 170 cars there at That alone I think warrants a traffic any time. study.

But not only that, here's the problem. We're doing this in piecemeal. Right? Edge just got approved a few months back, a huge high-end traffic/parking generator. Now we're adding this other high-end use parking generator, but you know what? Let's not do a traffic study. At some point there needs to be a consideration of the overall cumulative impact that adding these high traffic/parking generators have on the center, and determine whether or not New Britain Ave with intersections even, you know, the roadways heading into Corbin's center can accommodate that. Right?

And this all leads to a safety issue. The more congestion you have, the more safety issues you're going to have. And we know -- and I've included this in my letter, that there's been quite a few reported vehicular accidents in

Corbin's Corner over the last 5 years, 26 vehicular accidents in Corbin's Corner. What hasn't been reported? Right?

So now you have a hundred percent occupancy in some areas of Corbin's Corner. That's just going to compound the issues, nevermind the fact that if you're constantly looking for a parking spot you're not paying attention to pedestrians.

You'll hear from the representative here from Red Robin and the representative from Edge, and you'll hear how they're already impacted from the parking situation at Corbin's Corner, and how they fear it's going to affect them by allowing this change in use. After you hear from them and after you hear from Mr. Sullivan I ask that you consider the POCD and your role again that we talked about.

The POCD on page 78 says that higher economic uses of commercial properties should be encouraged where the physical land characteristics, transportation conditions and infrastructure are conducive to increased intensity. I ask you to remember that, and that you make a determination that this property is not conducive to this increased intensity by allowing the change in use from retail to restaurant use.

1 Thank you.

PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you.

Next, David Sullivan.

DAVID SULLIVAN: Good evening. Again, my name is David Sullivan. I'm with Milone & MacBroom, a professional engineer and I manage traffic and transportation planning. We were contacted a couple weeks ago and asked to look at this situation and we did, we did just that. What you'll see is we took a little different approach than Langan did. In fact, you'll see it's different in three ways as I go through it.

First, our analysis is based on almost exclusively empirical data. We didn't rely on statistical data, industry data, shared parking theories and things like that.

The second thing you'll notice is our focus area was not the entire SDD. We did count the entire SDD just to validate their counts, but then when we did our analysis, which I'll go through, we focused on just the southeast, the part of the shopping center that this application is about.

And the third thing you'll see through the presentation is our findings are different. I didn't do a peer review of their study, but I do

know that the conclusion is that with the changes and the restaurant coming there, I think if I have this right, they're projecting an increase of 40 parked vehicles. We're coming up with a much larger number than that, and I'll go through how we did that.

The first is just some housekeeping things. The parking counts were done on Thursday and Saturday a couple weeks ago. We identified the peak hours of two count periods to be on Thursday night 6 to 7 p.m. And as I mentioned, we did compare their counts to our counts and in general they weren't exact, of course, but they were very close. So we're comfortable with all the data that's been provided.

The five areas that we're focusing on are the ones south of that, I'll call it that spine road that separates the two shopping centers. And you'll see where these letters correlate. They're the same letters that they used in their report and they will be in our graphics as well. And just showing you quickly what the capacity of some of those lots are. D and E for example, are the ones right up front of Edge, for example.

We looked also at the BJ's restaurant in

Manchester. So we counted that at the same time on Thursday and Saturday, the 13th and 15th. We found on the Thursday peak hour there were 136 cars parked at BJ's in Manchester, 130 on Saturday. The Langan projection, again just for a little bit of context, when they adjusted for their Saturday I think they said it was 60 or something like that. So you can see where we have

As we were doing this we were told by the Red Robin owners that the Manchester side is a lesser market than will be here in West Hartford. And I said, well, that's great. It's kind of anecdotal.

a different basis that we're dealing with.

And he said, well, I'll give you some of my sales data. So they gave us a year's worth of sales data for Manchester and West Hartford, which showed the difference of about 30 percent in their West Hartford location.

I said, that's great, but let's try to see if we can replicate those findings. So when we did the counts we counted their Manchester and West Hartford Red Robin parking, and we found an increase of about 25 percent in the Red Robin traffic.

For Edge Fitness, right now they have about

5,000 members during that peak hour which I believe was six to seven o'clock. You know, there are 201 sign-ins. That's the people who go in, swipe your card and then you go work out. Before and after that, you know, it was lesser, but it was still in the upper hundreds as you go back an hour, and you know, very substantial.

And they also have 28 employees, I think, and they said they were doing tours, but they don't have any counting of that. Nonetheless we said, well, how are we going to determine how many of the people we counted parking in front there, how many can we determine are Edge counters?

So the one empirical way we could do it is to look at our counts in the couple of lots in front of Edge and look at the counts we did versus the December counts that Langan did and see if we can identify a difference. We identified a difference of 180. We used that number -- I think that's conservative, because their December counts had some seasonal increases which were probably in play. Plus you know, just the 201 sign-ins, the employees and whatnot, 180 seemed like it was very conservative.

Their expected membership is going to double.

Again, we asked for some information on that, that doubling and they gave us some anecdotal historic data of some of their other high producing clubs, and where they went from the month to the end of the year. And a doubling of the membership in a year or thereabouts seemed reasonable based on that data, but we still felt uncomfortable doubling the 180 car parking. So we said, let's do a 50 percent increase of that traffic during the peak hour.

By the way, one other thing here is the
Langan January counts, there was some indication
it was skewed. Our counts and Langan's January
counts were nearly identical for those two
periods. So if there was a skew because of the
grand opening, the membership growth has caught up
to that already, so.

Okay. So this is where we get into trying to visualize what's happening here, and you can see D is right in front. The only difference between the Langan report; we broke D into D1, D2; and F into 2 and E into 2.

And you can see, this isn't exactly where they were parking. The blue indicates the percentage of the lot that was full.

And you can see -- and I won't run through every number, but D2 -- D1, D2. D1, they're all pretty full. So is G. And it was interesting because I would have expected G not to be full because it's back of house, such as the back of the house on the right side of Edge, but there's a little walkway that you can get through to Edge. So it's interesting. So people have learned to park back there at this point.

So those are the existing parking conditions. Then we said, well, let's take the Manchester BJ's as is, no increase or no volumetric increase for the market conditions, and this is what it would do.

So as you can see, F1 which is the lot right where BJ's would be is full. Start pushing into F2. D1 becomes completely full. E2, still full. E1 starts getting closer to full. D2, a little bit of spilling over. We're trying to show it graphically, but some of it would probably spill over into D2.

Then we said, well, what if they're right about the market and it's going to be 25 percent greater here? So we said, well, let's increase BJ's 25 percent. So we did that here.

As you can see, pushing farther into F2, further into D2. E, when it's almost full starts spilling a little bit into H which is where the Red Robin is. And this lot, again is getting fuller and full.

Now we said, what happens if, in fact, the Edge traffic, those 180 increases by 50 percent? Well, essentially the entire lots, D, E, F and G become full and the only available parking is that far corner in the far lower south end of the Red Robin.

So a couple things. When we're designing and when we're analyzing parking lots we like to target our design for 85 to 90 percent occupancy for normal times of year. This is about as normal time a year as you can get. The end of February the whether was copacetic, nothing extreme in either case. It's not a holiday season. It's not the peak gym season, all those New Year's Eve resolutions already faded out. So this is really as normal and typical as we can get a snapshot of.

So if in fact, the counts we did in

Manchester are in fact on the low side, and if in

fact the conservative estimates of Edge growth

comes to fruition this lot really has very little capacity. Obviously, there's not the 90 percent, so you're going to have additional cars circulating looking for parking spaces. That's one of the reasons we like to have 10 percent vacancy minimum.

The last thing is -- and I just don't want it to go unsaid. When they talk about the 1600 parking spaces, 16-something in the SDD, I guess it's called, and they talk about their projection of being 1,060 demand -- so that if I do my math, that's some 600 vacant spaces.

When we did our counts it was between four and 500 vacant spaces north of this graphic. So I think the analysis has to be focused on this area. And I guess what it comes down to -- Edge and Red Robin will be coming up and hopefully be giving you some comfortable level that the projections that we made are based in valid data.

So that's my presentation.

PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.

The next person is Vincent Sansone. And so we're at about 35 minutes now. We're happy to hear from you, but if you could keep your comments a little tighter? Thank you.

VINCENT SANSONE: Good evening, everyone. Vincent
Sansone, Founder and President Edge Fitness. It
seems like the other day I was up here in front of
the Council in this room.

The reason that I'm here -- and by the way, this picture is taken during the day. We have more cars at night. For anybody that's been to our clubs or here at night, you know what I'm talking about.

I mean, anybody that goes to this lot, to me
I can't even imagine putting a high intensive
restaurant use with a bar so they stay later on
top of what we're doing.

Just to give some context of what

Mr. Sullivan said, our West Hartford club -- and
it took me 30 years to get into West Hartford. We
knew it was going to be a homerun -- is rivaling
our best clubs in our chain which currently is at
32 clubs right now. It's right up there, and West
Hartford to me is like our Fairfield club. It's
rivaling the ramp we're having. So 10,000 members
by the end of this year is very doable.

As of this study last week we said we were about 500. We're already at over 5200 members now. So I don't know if any of you people are

members that come to the gym, but you can see how busy we're getting.

It's a little weird for me because we're a new tenant, and I know Regency said you guys are going to spend 7 million. We spent over 5 million here based on the fact that we were very careful to protect our parking. We were never told you were going to put a high-intensity restaurant use here, zero, never -- but we protected our parking.

And one of the things that I want to clear up is -- because I don't want to be the bad guy is -- and you guys did a great job on your presentation. And Attorney Pearson, I expect that from you because we know each other, but changing that drive lane, what they fail to tell you is when the landlord presented that the reason we objected to it is because it amounted to less spots in the area that they've just presented, not more.

So we said, how can we have a high-intensity parking user as a restaurant bar come in here?

You guys change the aisleway and we get less spots.

The other is anybody that shops at Trader
Joe's, you guys know you can't even park there.
As a matter of fact, on that sliver we tell our

members, we have signs in the gym that you can't park there. So if they were going to change the drive aisle, give us less spots, they would have pushed our main parking even farther away from our members.

We have thousands of West Hartford residents as members, thousands, obviously because that's where we're located. They're very concerned with this. They were concerned of us coming in to begin with; that parking was going to be tight and we assured them, you know, there's a large parking field. You get to use the parking.

You know, we had them light up the alleyway which is full all the time. Again, I welcome any of you guys to come between five and eight o'clock at night and see what this lot is really like. No matter what their data says you have to look at it realistically.

So my reason I'm here is we're a new business. We spent a lot of money here. We have a lot of residents that are very concerned and this is going to negatively impact, not just us, but all these other users, as Attorney Harris said.

So the increase is real. We are -- health

clubs, normally anywhere you go a successful health club is a very high intense parking user, which typically on a lot that's already tight, another high user like a restaurant bar is not a good mix. There's going to be a lot of driving around. There's going to be problems in the lot. We already hear it from our members now, and that's one of the reasons I'm up here.

I don't want to come against our landlord and fight them on the application unless I believed and knew based on where we're going as a business that it was going to, not only negatively impact us, but also our members.

They're going to be frustrated. They're going to be angry. They're going to be late getting to their appointment, late getting into the group exercise class. We heard from our members now that go to Trader Joe's. Oh, my God. I can't even find a place to park. Yes, you have to park way out by the bank.

And West Hartford is my home club, so I use this club on the weekends. I use it at night.

We're parking out now by the Jared's by the bank.

Again, I welcome anybody to go there no matter what their data says, go there and see it for real

because you'll see that that's the truth.

So the reason again I objected to the drive aisle, we have a no-build zone in our lease that prevents them from reducing our parking or changing any driver access that's going to negatively impact us, and that's why we did that.

I love all the improvements they're making.

As a matter of fact, we're doing another deal with them in Delaware. So nothing against the landlord, but this is going to have a -- unbelievably be a disastrous effect, nevermind what November through Christmas is going to be like.

We weren't there in December. You guys probably shopped there and went by there. That's not even including us in there. And I'm glad you pointed out, Dave, on the parking, because I know they tried to lower our count. We're doing more check-ins now in February than we were back in the grand opening, or January.

And like I said, I compared our top five clubs, highest trafficked in West Hartford already is up there with those clubs and it's ramping, one of our best ramps in the history of our company.

So I don't want to take a lot of time, but I just

want to leave you with this one thing.

And again, Regency did a great presentation.

I hope they do all the improvements. You know,

it's going to be a disaster if that restaurant

goes in, restaurant bar.

So here's what I'm thinking. If they're wrong on their counts and they're wrong on what it's going to be like, what happens to them?

Nothing. It's us, our members, the other tenants, our customers. We're the ones that are going to pay the price.

And like I said, we spent over \$5 million. We just opened December 21st. None of this was ever discussed with us. As a matter of fact, I didn't even know about these meetings. We had members come to us and said, you guys better get involved in this. They want to put a restaurant bar in your parking lot.

I called the landlord, and he called us and said, I'm sorry. It's my fault that I didn't alert you guys at all. So to me that's -- something is trying to be hid there. So I hope that anybody that knows this town, knows that parking lot, sees the type of business we're doing. And remember, we're only at 5200 members

1 right now. We will be at 10,000 by the end of 2 this year because we're modeling with our other 3 clubs. 4 So we have many clubs that are over 10,000 5 members. So that, putting a bar restaurant there 6 is just going to make it a nightmare for 7 everybody. That's what I have to say. 8 Thank you for your time. 9 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you, Mr. Sansone. 10 Richard Shelton is next. And I would ask -- and I think this would be 11 12 for Attorney Harris, that whatever pictures are 13 not submitted with your letter just make sure that 14 they are submitted. Thank you. 15 RICHARD SHELTON: Yeah, in fact I hope somebody -- in 16 the package will see this and what pictures of the 17 parking lot. 18 If I knew we were running so late I would 19 have brought some food in from Red Robin, so I 20 apologize. 21 The truth of the matter is, it's February. 22 This is probably the mildest winter we've had in 23 forever. If any of you remember there usually are 24 mountains of snow probably occupying 15 to 30

parking spaces in Corbin's Corner, and it sits

there until late spring. It just sits there. So just as a point, this happens to be the mildest modest winter you can think of.

Also we all know that retail is quiet this time of year. From our point of view one of the experiences that we're having with Edge coming in is that we experienced between two and five shopping carts that are in our parking lot. So every morning or afternoon we have to bring the carts back to Trader Joe's, because it's occupying some parking spaces of ours and it's a parking hazard. I can't imagine how many shopping carts are out in the middle of nowhere because people can't park near Trader Joe's. So they go out, they come back to their car and they leave their shopping carts.

In the charts that you saw of the parking lot you saw that Red Robin's "H" was the quietest one of all. The reason why is we are a family restaurant and we basically fill up on Saturday nights. That's when the families go out.

And so one of the results is that we have 136 parking spaces. On Saturday nights, holidays, during the Christmas holidays we're full. Now we have 220 parking spaces -- 220 seats in our

restaurant. BJ's with the outside seating is
going to have 306. We average three people per
car that come into our restaurant. BJ's has less
than two. So you can see that they're just going
to eat up a lot of parking. And so we're
convinced that they're going to be using 200 to
250 parking spaces when they're full.

This is a high-impact high-use restaurant.

It is not the best thing for the shopping center.

It's going to put a stranglehold on people getting in out of the shopping center. And I think what Vinny said before, the best thing you can do is Monday through Thursday go to the shopping center anytime between six and eight o'clock and you will see that there is no parking, and this is going to be compounded greatly by the addition of a restaurant. Thank you.

And please look at the pictures, because there are some more photos that show how tight it is.

PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you, Mr. Shelton. Yes, for the packet, the pictures, but whatever is not in there make sure that we have for our records.

And then next I have Christopher B-E-S-T-O-N, Beston.

CHRISTOPHER BESTON: I'm all set. Thank you.

PRESIDENT CANTOR: Okay. And then signed up, I don't

know. I don't think -- Marc, you're all set.

MARC MOURA: Oh, yeah. I'm sorry. I thought it was just a sign-in.

PRESIDENT CANTOR: That's okay.

Judy Allen. Remember, state your name and address for the record.

JUDY ALLEN: Judy Allen, 25 Fowler Drive.

I kept looking for my house in there, but I couldn't find it. I live just outside. I'm one house away from the area that has to be notified, but my neighbor gets a notification. So I show up for her, and I'm showing up for my entire neighborhood. I have lived there since before there was a Sears, and this was a farmland. So I've watched the development.

Two things are important. The removal of the canopy in some places. Again going to my neighbor who is in a wheelchair and you remember that I talked a lot when Shake Shack went in about having the gate wide for people to go through. People need cover, now especially she needs cover. She's not going in and out of a car. She's going down, she's going down the entire length, and in bad

weather that's a problem for her.

It's a problem for me. I don't like to have to walk in the rain, but you know, if I don't have to carry an umbrella.

The other thing is I'm an electric car driver and I'm the one that brought up the electric car charging station. And it's important to me that West Hartford continue to be a sustainable town, and if you want to do that you have got to build out electric car charging stations.

If you want people to switch to things like electric cars you have to make charging stations available. I have heard people guess that, well, you have a charging station at home. Well, yeah, that's great, but you've got a certain limit on where you can drive. And if you want to go to someplace that is going to run you out of electricity you need a charging station.

The other thing to consider is that a charging station, you're there for maybe an hour or two hours. You're shopping while you're using that charging station. This could be an additional revenue for the area.

One other thing. Because I have lived there forever and because my neighbor and I are sort of

1 sensitive to being in Elmwood, and sort of 2 resistant to wanting to be kind of dragged into 3 everything being like West Hartford Center. 4 so when I hear that you want to spiff something up because it doesn't fit the overall West Hartford 5 6 feel, you know, improvements are nice, but we 7 don't necessarily want to look like the rest of 8 West Hartford. Like I said, upgrades are nice, 9 but consider the neighborhood that it's in. 10 I don't quite understand that mural. I don't 11 know what keys are, but if you really look at the 12 history of the center, you see something of the 13 history of the development of Elmwood as well. 14 And a lot of the neighbors walk there. They don't 15 drive. 16 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you, Judy. 17 Is there anybody else in the audience that 18 would like to speak to this application? 19 20 (No response.) 21 22 Okay. All right. Attorney Pearson, PRESIDENT CANTOR:

LUKE MAURO: Just wanted to leave the picture up.

do you want to continue, or come on up for

23

24

25

questions?

PRESIDENT CANTOR: Just state your name for the record.

LUKE MAURO: Sorry. Luke Mauro with Langan

Engineering, traffic engineer for the project.

Just one note about this picture before they take the laptop back. I want everyone to notice that the area where we're putting the restaurant pad in, it's not shown. Right? It's on the bottom left corner, although you see maybe seven or eight parked cars, parked there, if you were to zoom out -- and I believe we have another photo that was sent to us showing that area. It's pretty much vacant.

So although this does show heavy occupancy in some of these areas closer to Edge and Trader

Joe's, what you can see in this picture is there's likely a great amount of vacant parking spaces that are not shown just outside of the frame there.

RICHARD SHELTON: Could I counter that?

PRESIDENT CANTOR: No, you can't. Sorry. That's the public hearing. We have already received your comments. Thank you.

MR. MATHEWS: Madam Mayor, just a point of clarification. Is this the rebuttal period? Or what are we doing?

PRESIDENT CANTOR: So, no. Yeah -- well, actually the applicant is allowed to come back up and finish.

We can ask further questions that came up in public comment and they will close, and then we will close the public hearing.

LUKE MAURO: Okay. Just for context -- and this wasn't a photo taken by us. This was a photo -- I'm not quite sure who. I believe it was -- I don't know if it was Edge itself, or maybe it contracted out for a drone photo here. I believe this was taken in late January or early February, so not too long ago.

I believe it was during the week and during what we're calling peak-hour periods, five to six o'clock maybe at night. You can see -- and like said, I don't know the exact timeframe this was taken, but you can see from this view, there's a significant amount of parking located between the existing Jared's building and the existing bank there.

As a matter of fact, we counted the number of occupied spaces from this picture in that what we're calling area "F." There's only 46 cars parked there. So when you account for the increase in parking in that area you're looking at

close to 200 available parking spaces based on this picture in that area. So I just wanted to make that point.

Just a couple items in regards to the rebuttal presentation that was made. It was stated there's an estimate of 180 parked cars that can be attributed to the Edge Fitness user. Because it is a shared-use plaza and there's different uses there; there's a Trader Joe's supermarket nearby, there's a liquor store, there's some other small food restaurants in there.

It's impossible, I would say, to accurately represent how many parking spaces in that lot are dedicated just to Edge Fitness and may not be shared-use parking spaces for other visitors that maybe they're going to the gym, but maybe they're also going to Total Wine, or maybe they're also going to Trader Joe's after their workout. So I would say there's no way to actually verify there is 180 parking spaces that are dedicated solely to the Edge users.

In regards to the count data collected at the Manchester BJ's, I think we would agree I think during their peak time they had counted around 130

or so occupied parking spaces in that Manchester location. If you recall during my presentation we stated the ITE data represents approximately 120 occupied spaces to account for a restaurant user of this size. So I would say generally that is in line with the information we've presented.

I would note BJ's in Manchester, the out parcel there is located further away from the rest of the mall there. I believe it's about 5 to 6 hundred feet away. In this location here the proposed restaurant would be in closer proximity to some of the other users. So I think there's more of an opportunity for shared-use parking within this center as compared to perhaps

Manchester. So I think the estimate of 120 to, we'll say, 130 cars is reasonable.

I would say in my professional opinion it wouldn't be standard industry practice, ITE data for example to take counts from a single location, i.e., Manchester and then apply a 20 percent increase factor to a plaza, similar mixed-use characteristics not that far away. I would say to jump from 130 to 175, 180 parking spaces I would say would not be an industry standard practice to do that.

I would also note that although there might be an increase in the gym membership, I believe it was stated an additional 5,000 members or so maybe in the next year or two. That doesn't mean they're all going to be active members. Right?

I think over time generally speaking people sign up, you know, it was January, resolutions were going on. It's a grand opening. It's a brand-new business, and although there might be an increase in membership that doesn't necessarily mean that everyone is going to keep using that membership on a regular basis.

In that regard, I think I should also mention that -- so in that vein, to assume there's going to be a 50 percent growth in the parking demand required for the Edge Fitness, I just don't see that happening personally. That said, although the presentation did bring up some valid points I think it did confirm generally that 120 to 130 cars we can anticipate for the proposed restaurant use is on par.

I don't believe, however, that taking the counts from Manchester and then applying the 25 percent growth rate and then applying an estimate for the existing Edge parking demand, and

applying a 50 percent growth rate to that number to get us to this whole area being full is -- I don't think that's accurate, I would say.

In regards to the traffic, just to reiterate we did submit an application for an administrative decision to the Office of State Traffic

Administration. I had handed out that letter.

The only thing holding up that approval is approval from Town Council. Everything else has been reviewed. There were some minor comments in the site plan. They've been addressed. I believe town staff has reviewed the documentation submitted. They're comfortable with our analysis.

One other point I had just wanted to make was I think it was stated that at BJ's peak it was estimated that they may take 200 to 250 parking spaces at full, considering that only I believe it's 260 seats in the restaurant; that assumes that every seat is taken by an individual driver, which I also just don't see, you know, that happening as well.

There's -- I believe it was mentioned that Red Robin you may see an average of three patrons per car. Even conservatively if you brought that down to two patrons per car at 250 seats you're

1 looking at approximately 120 to 130 parking spaces required for this user, which I believe the plaza 2 3 will support. 4 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you, Mr. Mauro. So Attorney 5 Pearson, I know that I have a few questions. I 6 don't know -- I'm sure some of my colleagues do. 7 So if you would like to come up? 8 So are you ready to take some questions? 9 MS. PEARSON: Yes, indeed. 10 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Okay. So I will just start with a 11 few that I have. I may have a couple more, but I 12 do want to ask about the tenants, the actual 13 tenants. And there was a statement that Edge 14 Fitness was not aware until the middle to late 15 December in the application. Can you talk about 16 that? 17 MS. PEARSON: I am going to have to defer to Rebecca 18 Wing with regard to that. I'm not privy to the 19 communications with the various tenants. 20 If you could? 21 REBECCA WING: Rebecca Wing, Senior Investment Manager 22 with Regency Centers. Good evening, Madam Mayor and members of the Council and members of the 23 24 public. 25 Yeah, just to answer that question, so we do

regret that we did not reach out to tenants in advance of the filing. And I want to make it very clear that that was because we did not anticipate any objections. So my partner Jack DeVilliers, you all probably know and have dealt with in lease signings. And I have been working on this project for about a year and we really have had the goal of improving the center for the long term.

So after several meetings with DRAC and town officials, and a lot of reviews with our design team, you know, our mission all along was really to just upgrade it and make the parking safer. That was the thinking behind the original move of the drive aisle and we actually thought our tenants would be thrilled.

So we are -- we were surprised by the reaction when we did bring it up with Edge because of the no-build area, and we listened to the objection. That's why we made the change to take the drive aisle out and did look for ways to kind of try to increase parking right around where the restaurant is, but it really was not any desire to hide anything.

We're going to be working very closely with our tenants during construction, obviously, and we

are as invested as they are. We believe in all the centers we own. We're really on the same team, so we regret that this has happened this way.

MS. PEARSON: Rebecca, just so you talked about the investment and how we're doing this for the betterment of the center, which means of your tenants more than anything else.

REBECCA WING: Right.

MS. PEARSON: Are there any restrictions? Is there any reason to believe that people might have thought that you could not put a restaurant at that location up front?

REBECCA WING: No, and I would add to that that in our discussions with the restaurant tenants they have expressed that they feel that there is plenty of parking between their building and the bank.

Their door will face that direction and they feel that by closing off the drive aisle beside them and increasing the landscaping there we're actually improving the safety situation overall.

Just to add to that, we also just as the operator would think that if we could work together with Edge we could find better locations for their additional customers to park. We have

already approved that pocket park, and we believe that there could be more parking behind the buildings that could use that also as an entrance and possibly be better than the, sort of, outreaches of the center where the restaurant is.

And that pretty much any spot that's accessible to the walkways would be better for future Edge customers than that sort of middle area by the restaurant. So we have been -- we don't see the conflict. We didn't until we had this conversation.

PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you. And you might want to stay up for my next question. It was the snow question, which I think is real -- we're obviously not having a typical New England winter, or Connecticut winter.

And so obviously there that does impact many parking spaces. And how do we treat and where would you store snow to make sure? And one of the pictures that came around does have maybe five or eight spaces that are encumbered by snow.

REBECCA WING: Yeah. So in general it's not commercially reasonable to cart it offsite. So we're not able to commit to not storing it somewhere on the asphalt, but I can commit to

6 7

5

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

1 working with our property management team to make 2 sure there is no snow stored in the parking spots 3 in these areas, the four or five designated areas 4 in question, because those, we realize that that's 5 a concern. So we could use some -- find some less 6 used driveways to the back, perhaps. 7 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Okay. And just to follow up on 8 that, the Trader Joe carts that tend to maybe take 9 up a space that might otherwise might be 10 available, is there an agreement that you have 11 with them or something to clear up the carts 12 periodically? Or how does that work? 13 REBECCA WING: So there isn't, but again that's 14 something we can work with them to make sure that 15 they have their staff outside clearing carts on a 16 more regular basis. That's generally something 17 you work with the tenant on. Thank you. That was all I had right 18 PRESIDENT CANTOR: 19 away, but I know Ms. Blanks has --20 MS. PEARSON: Could I just ask Rebecca to mention one 21 other thing that she shared with me -- with one of 22 the persons who's speaking Judy Allen? 23 REBECCA WING: Mrs. Allen mentioned the desire to have 24 some additional electric car charging stations and 25 that's something we can definitely look into.

We

1 obviously have the Tesla stations there already, 2 but we know that those don't serve everybody. You 3 have to have a Tesla. So that's something we're 4 looking at a lot of in our centers, and are happy 5 to look for some spots here. 6 PRESIDENT CANTOR: That's great to hear. Great. Thank 7 you. 8 Ms. Blanks? 9 COUNCILOR BLANKS: Thank you, Madam Mayor. 10 A couple questions -- and maybe even be a 11 point of clarity for me. So I'll start with the 12 building. I want to make sure that I heard 13 correctly. So the building would be constructed 14 to a new building. The existing building is going 15 to be torn down? 16 MS. PEARSON: That is correct. 17 COUNCILOR BLANKS: Okay. And I'm imagining the square footage of that building is going to be increased? 18 19 MS. LABROT: It is increased slightly, yes. 20 COUNCILOR BLANKS: Okay. And with the increase and 21 with the outdoor dining, how many spaces does that 22 take up, parking spaces? 23 MS. PEARSON: You know, I'd like to go back to that 24 other photograph because that also doesn't show 25 currently where we're going to add the 12 spaces.

DAVID GAGNON: Dave Gagnon, Langan Engineering,

Maybe you could point that out also.

professional civil engineer. It looks like we lost our PowerPoint again -- but to answer your question, we're actually increasing the amount of parking spaces versus the current condition.

We're increasing on the overall SDD by 25 spaces and in that area in the vicinity of the BJ's we're adding 12 spaces versus the current conditions.

So we're not losing any spaces at all.

COUNCILOR BLANKS: Okay. So with the outdoor dining and the slight increase of square footage you're not losing any. You're going to be increasing.

And also I just want to do a followup, because with the little -- I don't know what you're referring to in terms of the roadway or the existing little drive area. What would that be to the West of the building? That's going to be closed off. Right? And that's going to be landscaping and whatnot. So the traffic is going to be diverted around that. Correct?

DAVID GAGNON: Yeah. Yes, that is correct. And we're able to -- the reason why we're able to increase the parking around the BJ's area is we pushed the building a little bit closer to New Britain

Avenue, a little bit more towards that main drive aisle. And then we're able to get a little more efficient with the parking in the area.

So we towed in some spots around New Britain Avenue. So it was kind of a geometric problem. So we are able to actually increase. Even though we're increasing the building square footage, we're adding the outdoor dining. We also increased the parking in that area by twelve spaces.

COUNCILOR BLANKS: Okay. So for me, how will you, I guess, work with the owners in terms of addressing the new traffic pattern? I often shop in this area and I know it's very challenging in terms of the traffic coming in from New Britain Avenue, coming in from the mall.

There is not a stop sign there once you come past the traffic light. And so there's only the stop sign on the opposite end, but on that main strip there isn't. And I do know that a number of people usually take the little shortcut behind the building, which will now be closed.

So I'm just curious to know or learn how will that be addressed, if at all?

DAVID GAGNON: Yeah. That's a good question, and thank

you for asking. That was one of our concerns as well, and if you could visualize our improvements we are closing that drive aisle if you're coming just into the plaza from across the street at that intersection.

We're closing that drive aisle on the left and this is to relieve traffic as you're coming off New Britain Avenue. And the idea is to prevent a queuing backup into New Britain Avenue and to add more queuing space on the plaza. So we know that this was an issue and this was our attempt to help alleviate that.

COUNCILOR BLANKS: Okay. So I just have another one for you, because I'm thinking about you talked about your peak time. I'm thinking about holiday traffic. So when the folks are coming from that opposite end if there isn't any stop sign once you cross through the traffic light, whoever is looking to either go straight into the Best Buy or Trader Joe's, or what have you, they may be waiting there for a good period of time because there's no stop.

Do you understand what I'm saying? Do you follow? Do you follow what I'm saying? I just want to be sure that I'm clear.

1 LUKE MAURO: Well, just a couple notes. Typically in, 2 you know, in design we don't like to put a stop 3 bar as you're entering a plaza. 4 COUNCILOR BLANKS: Uh-huh. I understand that. 5 LUKE MAURO: Okay. Just so that there's no queuing out 6 into the, you know, into state road there. 7 understand your question correctly, you're talking 8 about this intersection here. Correct? 9 COUNCILOR BLANKS: Yeah. 10 LUKE MAURO: So I think to address concerns at this 11 location as I previously mentioned, you know, we 12 wouldn't want to necessarily put a stop on the 13 inbound direction just so that maybe in busier 14 times there isn't a queuing backup back into New 15 Britain Avenue. 16 However, I don't think we would be opposed to providing a stop bar at this location so that 17 18 there are gaps for people coming in to be able to 19 make that turn. Is that --20 COUNCILOR BLANKS: Yes. Thank you. 21 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you. Any other questions from 22 Councilors? Okay. Ms. Fay --23 MS. PEARSON: Someone from the audience, if I may, just asked if we could point out where that might be on 24 25 this side. Could you walk around and just point

1 out where that might be on this side? 2 So you're now going to show where it might 3 go. 4 Thank you. Okay. 5 LUKE MAURO: So I think the comment was, we can 6 certainly -- to improve safety operations there, 7 we could put a stop bar as you approach going 8 towards New Britain Avenue in order to allow for 9 vehicles to make that turn into that and increase 10 safety in that area. 11 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you, Mr. Mauro. 12 Ms. Fay? 13 COUNCILOR FAY: Thank you, Mayor Cantor. 14 I have more of a technical question because I 15 really want to make sure that this is an important 16 decision, that I understand it as presented. mentioned some driving terminology, that ITE 31 17 18 for retail -- I think it was you, Attorney 19 Harris -- versus 121 for restaurant. 20 Can you translate that into what that means? 21 I understand 121 is bigger than 31, but is it 22 simple math or is it an exponentially bigger 23 impact? 24 LUKE MAURO: So ITE, Institute of Transportation 25 Engineers, call it our bible, if you will,

industry standard for estimating both parking and traffic demands. I think the intent of the comment was to state based on ITE data which is collected from numerous sources throughout the country, for a retail user of this size you would anticipate a demand of approximately 30 parked cars at the peak -- sorry, 37. I believe that's during the December peak period.

And then during -- sorry, for a restaurant user of this size you would anticipate a peak parking demand of 121, meaning that that use specifically would take up 121 parking spaces.

And again, that doesn't account for shared uses.

Because this is a mixed-use center you're going to have likely some restaurant patrons that are -- might go shopping at Best Buy, might be going to the liquor store, and so on and so forth. That's just a base number.

COUNCILOR FAY: And then one more followup, because now

I'm -- I thought I understood it, but now I'm

really confused. So the entryway coming in, I'm

guessing that this is a representation of what

it's going to look like in the future?

LUKE MAURO: Correct.

COUNCILOR FAY: And can you just explain -- I mean, I

1 go there all the time, but just I'm trying to get a picture in my mind of what it looks like now. 2 3 So what are the changes? Are we going from 4 three lanes to two? 5 LUKE MAURO: So this is probably the best picture we 6 have of that area. If you could see now just to 7 the west of the Jared's building there is an 8 existing drive aisle. 9 In the proposed case -- let me click back 10 here -- we're removing that drive aisle; one, to 11 maximize parking in that area; and two, I think it 12 eliminates a conflict point as you enter in off 13 the street, therefore, you know, lessening the 14 likelihood of an accident, say, happening there. 15 COUNCILOR FAY: The main drive is the same? 16 LUKE MAURO: The main drive is the same. Our original 17 application was to relocate the drive aisle over 18 there, but based on negotiations that was removed 19 from the application. 20 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you, Ms. Fay. Are you all 21 set? 22 COUNCILOR FAY: Yes, thank you. 23 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Any other questions from our 24 Council? Mr. Davidoff? No? Okay. Mr. Stafford? 25 MR. STAFFORD: I'd like to go back to the snow.

Looking at this picture -- and I did visit the site this morning, all I see is parking spaces.

So I'm trying to figure out where they are now piling the snow in other years than this year, and how many parking spaces has it been taking up?

MS. PEARSON: I don't think we can give you that specific answer. I can tell you just from personal observation that there have been years where there's been significant snow in the parking area such that it's had to be trucked offsite. I

do recall that has happened.

Obviously, Regency is not going to let snow sit in this parking lot to the extent that it alleviates open parking spaces so that nobody can utilize the center. And I think you just heard from Regency that they will make sure certainly in this area where most of the activity is right now in front of Trader Joe's and Edge they have made a commitment that the snow storage will take place somewhere where people are not using the parking spaces.

And at some point -- I mean, the reality is at some point if there -- again that kind of snow that has been experienced in the past, then they will have to remove it from the site, which

they've had to do it in the past.

MR. STAFFORD: Okay. Thank you.

PRESIDENT CANTOR: All set, Mr. Stafford?

Okay. Mr. Mathews.

MR. MATHEWS: Just some clarification. This application seemed to be a number of different proposals, as I see it. It's facade improvement, landscaping, roadwork and Trader Joe adding 2500 square feet in the back, plus the restaurant.

Assuming the restaurant is not approved, do you go forward with the other improvements and updates?

MS. PEARSON: I don't know what they would do under the circumstances. There is no question that the vitality and the use of that restaurant adds to the fiscal viability of the center, and one doesn't do improvements unless there's a benefit from those improvements. So that use of the restaurant pad certainly plays a big piece in this overall puzzle.

I can also assure you that they wouldn't do this if they felt there was not enough parking to be able to substantiate the uses and support the uses.

MR. MATHEWS: Okay. Let me just follow up with that.

comment made by the applicant's employee, or staff person who indicated that they were working on this plan for over a year and never reached out to the long-term tenants.

I guess what I'm trying to do is figure out the

I assume Red Robin -- and I don't know this for a fact, but I assume Red Robin and Edge, they have long-term leases?

MS. PEARSON: They do.

MR. MATHEWS: And I heard in the testimony that Edge made a 5 million-dollar investment. So as I sit here I can see where Edge and/or Red Robin might be a little bit concerned if in fact there's a whole lot of parking that may infringe on their 5 million-dollar investment.

And I understand your point that as counsel for the applicant they want to try to get more revenue, and they want to lease more space. So I can see that, that push and pull between the two parties. I'm just wondering if any thought was given to the degree that you have two long-term tenants who have concerns, if any thought was given to a less impactful design for that site?

I know you need to lease it, and I understand how that you need to bring revenue in, but to the

degree that there's some concern that there may not be enough parking, was any concern given to coming up with an idea that would work well with all the existing partners you have now who are now tenants? Everyone is trying to make some money there, obviously. Everyone is trying to do well. You're all concerned that if you're wrong, all of the sudden Red Robin and Edge will be harmed.

Do you follow my thought?

MS. PEARSON: I know exactly what you're saying. So

I'm going to let Rebecca answer this, but I'd like

to say one thing first, which is that we were very

pleased when we did our parking studies to find

that the data supports the fact that there is a

lot of excess parking in SDD Number 6, and we were

confident that our use of the space will not be

detrimental or deprive other tenants of the

ability to park in this facility.

We concede there's no question but that this parking area that they showed you -- they didn't show you the whole field, but that area in front of those stores is totally parked. I never go to that center and park in that area. I always park out between the bank and Jared's, because there's always space there.

So anybody who goes there knows that is the most congested part of the site. That's a given.

Part of what regency wanted to do was to put more general -- put more parking on that side of the drive aisle with that original plan.

But I'm going to ask Rebecca to answer what planning went into this and whether -- see, we don't think this particular restaurant is going to be such a high intensity use that it will be a problem at that location, but I'm going to let her answer the question -- because you were the one who negotiated it.

REBECCA WING: Yeah. So I agree with that, Robin. We don't agree that it's going to be as impactful as what our tenants have represented. So even though we know it's a more intensive use, we didn't anticipate this being an issue.

I would say the working on it for a year is true, however most of the time our design has been a facade renovation and the change to the drive aisle. We did not have a tenant for the Jared's space most of last year. We were certainly anticipating that it might become a restaurant, but that actually wasn't included in this until the late fall.

So it's not as long as it sounds that we went without approaching the tenants. It was probably a month or so after we made the change that we did so. And then again, still not anticipating it would be as big of an issue as what we're hearing.

I will say we anticipated a restaurant because what we see across all of our centers is that as with the Jared's closing, a lot of other businesses are struggling to survive. We generally have a lot of restaurants coming in and fitness users where traditional tenants aren't succeeding.

And we did carve out in the Edge lease the ability to build here and to change it, and we have every right to make it a restaurant in part because of that. We're very conscious of that across all our centers. We think it's Important n part of the vibrancy, and also just literally in making our merchandise mixes work today.

So we anticipated it would be a restaurant, but we didn't have a tenant or plan to include that change in this until the late fall. We do still believe it's the best use of the space.

MS. PEARSON: If I may? I have asked if our traffic consultant could just again explain how many

1 spaces are going to be left even if you deal with and accept the projections that they've made. 2 3 MR. MATHEWS: Just so we're clear, I've read the 4 proposal and I understand all that, but just Madam 5 Chair, a clarification through you -- if you don't 6 mind? 7 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Yes. 8 MR. MATHEWS: When this is voted on, do we vote on in 9 toto? 10 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Yes. 11 MS. PEARSON: I'd still like if it's possible to slip 12 that information in. 13 MR. MATHEWS: I'm not chairing the meeting. 14 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Attorney Pearson, you can answer the 15 question. 16 LUKE MAURO: So based on the information presented by 17 the tenants tonight, assuming their BJ's counts 18 with the 25 percent increase were to transfer, if 19 you will, over to the Corbin's Corner shopping 20 Center, and if that estimated 180 existing parking 21 spaces occupied by the Edge Fitness were to 22 increase again by 50 percent; when you account for 23 the parking demand observed from our counts and 24 you take all that additional parking into 25 consideration it only totals 659 occupied spaces

1 on the lot, which is still 400 vacant parking 2 spaces for the center as a whole. 3 That's a parking occupancy of only 4 76 percent, which I would say is well under the 85 5 to 90 percent that we said we would achieve for a 6 typical design. 7 MS. PEARSON: And may I clarify? That is for the 8 entire SDD. 9 LUKE MAURO: That's correct. That's for the entire 10 SDD, yeah. 11 MS. PEARSON: Now they've chosen to look at only our 12 ownership portion of the SDD, but there's shared 13 parking throughout that special development 14 district. It's not restricted to either side at 15 all. 16 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you, Attorney Pearson. 17 Do you want to address the question that 18 Mr. Mathews asked about, the voting as a whole or 19 voting -- Corporation Counsel could also answer as 20 we're taking that. 21 MR. DODGE: Thank you, Madam Mayor. You would vote on 22 the application as a whole, and that's it. 23 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you. I just thought we needed 24 to confirm. Thank you. 25 Mr. Gold.

COUNCILOR GOLD: Thank you, Madam Mayor. Thank you for the presentation on both sides. While I think this is kind of vailed in some competition going on in the parking lot area, I do want to address a concern I have for safety.

We've heard from a town resident -- while they generally walked to the area, what safety precautions are you going to have? As we residents have been to this area it is a difficult scenario to navigate as you go through. I just want you to address a little bit more about the safety issues for our pedestrian friendly environment.

LUKE MAURO: Sure. I just think a couple safety improvements. Number one would be the closing off of that drive aisle in this location. So you know, if there were a pedestrian to enter on this side and not crossing a drive aisle right there by New Britain Avenue, we're also proposing some sidewalks along the proposed restaurant there.

Our former application did have more significant sidewalk additions as we were, you know, relocating that proposed parking there with some -- I believe there was one or two additional -- or maybe relocated crosswalk

locations there.

And actually -- again, the previous application. You could see there we also were proposing to add some additional sidewalks connecting from the proposed restaurant parcel to the front of the Trader Joe's itself at this time, because that whole realignment has been removed from the application. Those are no longer on the table.

Does that answer the question?

PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you, Mr. Gold.

Mr. Davidoff?

MR. DODGE: Madam Mayor?

14 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Mr. Dodge?

MR. DODGE: Just to clarify, at the risk of having been oversimplistic before, the Council's action for an SDD is to approve this group, or to improve with modifications and can also approve it subject to conditions. We have already drafted the standard conditions for approval, and obviously occasionally add to those. So I just wanted to clarify the action that the Council can take tonight.

PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you, Mr. Dodge.

MS. PEARSON: And if I may? I would like to add to the

last comments that were made about sidewalk improvements, pedestrian access. While it is true that the changes to the parking area which included some of those amenities that Mr. Mauro talked about are off the table with this application because of the implications with potential lease restrictions with Edge, Regency is committed to at some point the potential for coming back in with those in the future if it all works out, but that is not off the table as far as Regency is concerned.

But for now we feel we have a very acceptable plan and it works and this will allow at this point, should this be approved, the entire renovation that is before you right now to be able to go forward.

PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you, Attorney Pearson.

So a follow-up for Mr. Mathews. I think he was wondering -- his question again, Mr. Dodge, whether could you approve this without the restaurant? Why don't you state your question?

MR. MATHEWS: It sounds, if I heard you correctly, Madam Chair, through you, it sounds like what you're saying is we could in fact modify the application.

1 If I understood you, vote on the facade, vote 2 on the other various pieces. Is that what you're 3 saving? I'm not sure. 4 MR. DODGE: Thank you, Madam Mayor. At the meeting 5 that follows, yes, the action would be if somebody 6 wanted to propose a modification to the approval, 7 then would be a vote of the Council in terms of 8 whether they want to approve subject to 9 modification or to disapprove. 10 PRESIDENT CANTOR: So Mr. Mathews, the process would be 11 that if you wanted to make a modification and 12 amendment really it would have to be seconded. 13 And then it would be voted on by the Council 14 before it would be added and then we would vote on 15 the amended. 16 So, Mr. Davidoff? 17 COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: Thank you, Madam Mayor. Attorney Pearson, I have a series of 18 19 questions I just want to make certain I get into 20 the record. What would the applicant consider to 21 be the peak hours of operation for the center? 22 MS. PEARSON: By peak hours we're talking about the 23 parking demand on the center. And those, would 24 you? 25 Sure. So peak hour on Saturday would be LUKE MAURO:

1 generally between two and three in the afternoon, 2 and then during the evening I believe the peak 3 hour is five to six. 4 COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: How about during the week? 5 LUKE MAURO: I'm sorry. During the week a peak hour on 6 a typical weekday would be five to six when the 7 gym and restaurant uses would be at use, higher 8 use. 9 COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: And are there any tenants that are 10 closed during those peak hours? 11 LUKE MAURO: I believe the bank is closed, which is 12 located within our parking area. And other than 13 the bank itself I would have to verify, but I 14 don't believe -- everything else is likely open at 15 that time. 16 COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: I just want to get a bunch of 17 answers onto the record so that when I make my 18 decision I can refer back to them. When the 19 management company looks at tenant mix is one of 20 the criteria that is used -- is the duration of 21 how long someone would stay or frequent, their 22 length of stay at a particular establishment? 23 So obviously if you're going to go in this 24 particular plaza, the pediatric, it would be to 25 see the doctor as long as there's a doctors

appointment; to go to Trader Joe's, to do your grocery shopping; so you can get an estimate, to go to the gym it would be a certain length of time.

So do you have some type of industry guidebook with respect to your centers as to, you know, what would be a good mix of durational space?

REBECCA WING: So we don't have -- there's no guidebook. There's nothing scientific. We certainly think about it, but it's more -- there's no particular guideline. Really the traffic guidelines and parking guidelines are the most standardized version of that that we have, and we certainly listen to our engineers as far as their advice as we develop our plan.

LUKE MAURO: And by no means is this a fact, but I would say a reasonable assumption is if you're going to several uses you can anticipate the average user staying maybe two or three hours or so.

COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: Okay. Thank you. I'm certain that had there been a movie theater here someone would see a movie for an hour or two and maybe eat something. So the average stay could be, you

know, three or four hours -- but compared to somebody who's not going to be grocery shopping for three or four hours.

And I don't think anyone is working out at the gym for three or four hours. So -- God bless them if they are. We're sitting here for three or four hours. This seems to be our workout.

Another question to the management company.

Would you consider the restaurant use a high
intensity use as described by the party in
opposition to your application today? Why and why
not.

REBECCA WING: So I think that term is actually just a parking intensive -- that term refers to parking intensity. And yes, that is an industry standard thing as our engineers have spoken to, that we do use a higher ratio of how many spots are needed per square foot of space. So it is a higher intensity use in terms of parking usage.

That said, we do also see that there's shared parking where we expect that some of the people who are going to the restaurant will be also shopping and parking elsewhere even on the other part of the property. So we certainly see things like restaurants and this type of a restaurant as

•

1 being part of a larger experience of the center, 2 if that helps. 3 COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: We have lots of applications that 4 come before this body, and many restaurants have 5 come through over the years and I've never heard 6 the term that a restaurant use was characterized 7 as a high intensity use. And so --8 MS. PEARSON: That is just borrowed from the ITE manual 9 that describes that type of restaurant, which is a 10 sitdown. 11 LUKE MAURO: Sure, sitdown. And I mean, there are 12 higher in terms of parking -- not parking, traffic 13 demand, higher intensity uses such as a restaurant 14 with a dive-through. Not necessarily higher 15 demand in parking, but definitely higher demand in 16 traffic as people are, you know, kind of pushing 17 through rather quickly. 18 COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: So it's fair to say the 19 terminology "high intensity use" would refer to 20 the parking demand with respect to that particular 21 use? 22 LUKE MAURO: Correct. 23 COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: Okay. There seems to be some 24 disagreement as to the necessity of a traffic 25 study, and it's fair to characterize your position that no traffic study is necessary.

Now does that meet national standards as to being the situation?

LUKE MAURO: Sure. So Connecticut DOT has a standard.

When you submit for an OSTA application, generally if a proposed project is anticipated to increase traffic on the surrounding area roadway network by over a hundred cars in an hour, a hundred cars meaning a total of in and out -- so say, 50 in, 50 out, that would be your hundred.

If a proposed project would generate more than a hundred trips an hour, then traffic counts traffic analysis, level of service, all that would come into play.

In this case New Britain Avenue is under state jurisdiction. We submitted a similar memo, a traffic assessment memo as was submitted to the Town. OSTA has reviewed that memo and overall site plan and some other supporting materials and they are in agreement that the traffic, the additional traffic demand that this user would bring would be less than a hundred trips, which we would consider within the day-to-day variation of traffic on the adjacent roadway network.

Thursday you might have a thousand cars.

4 5

Maybe Friday you have 1200 cars. That's already kind of built into the daily variation of traffic there. Therefore, no further analysis is required. So that would be a state standard.

COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: And if a traffic study would be

necessitated there, could there also be the likelihood or probability that the applicant -- or could be required to perform some offsite improvements with respect to that state highway with respect to traffic signalization or lane configuration. Is that correct?

LUKE MAURO: It is a possibility. Just speaking on this intersection in particular there are already a number of approaches. You're looking at three lanes coming out of the plaza. I think there's three lanes coming out from the mall across the street.

There are several -- it looks like there's four, four to five approach lanes on New Britain Avenue itself. So I would venture to guess you're most likely not going to do any physical improvements at this location.

COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: My next question deals with management. Does management have parking restrictions as to where employees can or should

1 park? MS. PEARSON: Rebecca, identify yourself. 2 3 REBECCA WING: Rebecca Wing with Regency Centers. 4 we don't have our own restrictions, but we do work 5 out on a tenant-by-tenant basis some areas where 6 we would prefer for their employees to park. 7 Generally that's to preserve the more desirable 8 spots for customers. 9 COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: Great. So I think it's pretty 10 well established with all of us that consumers 11 like to park as close as possible to the 12 establishment that they're going to. So would 13 management be willing to look into some type of 14 policy with respect to having the employees of the 15 various establishments in the plaza parking in 16 certain designated areas to free up spaces for 17 visitors, consumers? 18 REBECCA WING: We would and we have already to some 19 extent both with Edge and Red Robin -- agreed on 20 areas that are preferred customer -- preferred 21 employee parking areas. 22 COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: I think what I'm asking is I think 23 it may need to go further than just those two 24 tenants. If somebody works at Simple Greek or 25 they worked at Best Buy those people who are

employees, they ought to also be in what I call the employee parking area.

REBECCA WING: Yes, that is something that we can look at. For example, the parking that is behind the other wing of the center is underutilized. Yes, right there and we can look at it absolutely.

That's something we do in other centers as well.

councilor davidoff: This is now to the traffic engineer. In your experience -- because I've never seen this before other than tonight. Have you ever had evidence of parking from another location, another town compared to an application you're presenting before a body such as ours?

So compare parking data from Manchester in this particular instance to what could be parking information here in West Hartford.

LUKE MAURO: Generally speaking, no. Normally it is based on ITE which is the industry standard.

There would be exceptions in cases where there is no ITE data for a specific use. So you have to go to a reasonable location and count something to that effect, but in terms of taking a number, you know, a town, you know, a few towns and then applying an increase of 25 percent to it, I've never seen that myself.

COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: Right. And I think the distance,

it's fair to note for the record, I think we're at

least 25 to 30 miles from West Hartford to

Manchester, to this location -- or somewhere in

there.

Is there any information available on the

Is there any information available on the hours of operation for the proposed restaurant?

REBECCA WING: I don't have it readily available. I would have to check on that.

COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: That would have been helpful to determine whether or not there's going to be conflicts early Saturday morning, or Sunday morning with respect to people frequenting a gym or Trader Joe's.

LUKE MAURO: I think we can state with certainty that this user will not be serving breakfast, if that answers your question. So I think reasonable to assume eleven o'clock opening for lunch, if that answers your question.

I don't think they would be bringing a large demand of vehicles during the morning hours either on the weekends or during the week.

COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: And do you know the hours of operation of the Trader Joe's, the Best Buy, what time they open in the morning?

1 REBECCA WING: Yeah, usually ten or eleven on the Traders is a little bit earlier. 2 weekend. 3 some of our locations as early as eight, but I 4 would have to double check with our management 5 team.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: I'm getting done. I'm almost The restaurant tenant, do you believe that that would be a complement to your plaza in terms of the mix, and why?

In

REBECCA WING: Absolutely, and I don't know if I made it clearer earlier. It's something that we try to bring to all of our centers increasingly, and just a lot more restaurants in general. Because what we're seeing in the way people shop today is that there are, you know, obviously with online shopping, you know, it's easier to stay home for something. So we're really looking at mixes that will bring people out and keep a center relevant and make it familiar to them.

So even if you know, there are some uses where someone will come to a restaurant and also, you know, go to Best Buy in the same trip, there are other times where they may come one day and go to the restaurant. And then they may say, okay. There's a shop there. I'd like to visit, and they

come back another time.

So there's a lot of synergies between restaurants and other uses and we, just across the board, would really like to get them in. And there are instances like Jared's where there are traditional businesses going out of business. And there are, in some cases, fewer to work with. And so we do need to replace them with restaurants.

And I'd like to say on that point, we consider this one application. In order to justify a large investment in a center like this part of it does mean being able to find a new tenant for that, for that Jared's box. We don't want it to remain empty. We don't think that's good for anybody. So in our mind it really is one, one application.

COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: Well, as a-brick-and-mortar retailer I understand exactly what you're saying in terms of the current experience with respect to retail and dining.

I think I heard just recently within your testimony you would be willing to work with tenants who have lease restrictions on parking to see if there could be some type of meeting of the minds to find a solution, regardless of how this

application here this evening turns out. Is that correct?

REBECCA WING: Yes, and I don't -- it's not so much lease restrictions. I think there the issue at this point is just a general concern over the high demand in certain areas. And we are more than willing and eager to work with our tenants to find ways to, whether it's employee parking designation to make that work -- or the commitment on snow removal.

COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: And my last question is, one of
the criticisms was that this was creating an
unsafe environment in the parking lot, and I think
your initial plan had straightened out the drive
lane and had resolved some of the conflicts that
people have with respect to turning movements and
stuff like that.

So I guess if there's a meeting of the minds I think -- or do you think that one of your priorities would be to remove as many safety impediments from the parking scenario as it currently exists that we all can probably identify today going forward?

REBECCA WING: Yes, that was our original intention.

And we do hope to be able to revisit that in the

1 future if we are in agreement with our tenants. 2 That's the right thing to do. 3 COUNCILOR DAVIDOFF: Thank you for your answers. I 4 appreciate it. 5 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you, Mr. Davidoff. 6 Anybody else from the Council have a 7 question? 8 9 (No response.) 10 11 PRESIDENT CANTOR: Okay. So I think we have -- I would 12 just like to read into the record -- and I know 13 you already did, but a letter dated January 13, 14 2020, from TPZ recommending approval; a letter 15 dated January 21, 2020, from DRAC recommending 16 approval; a letter dated January 24, 2020, from 17 CROG finding no apparent conflict. 18 So if you have some closing remarks, and then 19 we will close the public hearing. MS. PEARSON: I really do not. I think we've exhausted 20 21 the topic. Reasonable people do seem to disagree 22 on something right now with regard to the parking. 23 I would like to reiterate that you did have your 24 engineering department review the parking 25 assessment and you do have that most recent

transmittal that came in today which accepts the analysis done by the applicant. So with that I think we've presented --unless I'm looking at the team -- there's anything else you wanted to add? They're shaking their head no. We thank you for you time and we definitely hope that you can approve this application. Thank you. PRESIDENT CANTOR: Thank you very much. We will close the public hearing and we will take a five-minute break and be back to start the Council meeting. (Whereupon, the above proceedings were concluded at 9:08 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing 128 pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original verbatim notes taken of the Public Hearing in RE: APPLICATION FILED ON BEHALF OF FW CT-CORBIN'S CORNER SHOPPING CENTER, LLC, OWNER OF 1459 NEW BRITAIN AVENUE, LOCATED WITHIN SPECIAL DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (SDD) #6, 1445-1459 NEW BRITAIN AVENUE, held before the West Hartford Town Council, at Town Hall, 50 South Main Street, Room 314, West Hartford, Connecticut, on

Robert G. Dixon, CVR-M 857

A Plus Reporting Service

55 Whiting Street, Suite 1A

Plainville, Connecticut 06062

My Commission Expires: 6/30/2020

February 25, 2020.